


Comprehensive Annual Financial Report

For the Fiscal Years Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016





Comprehensive Annual Financial Report

For The Fiscal Years Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016



Sacramento Suburban Water District

Sacramento, California

Prepared by:

The Finance Department






TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Introductory Section (Unaudited)	
Letter of Transmittal	I-VI
Certificate of Achievement in Financial Reporting	VII
Principal District Officials	VIII
Organization Chart	IX
District Service Area Map	X
Financial Section	
Independent Auditor's Report	1-2
Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) (Unaudited)	3-12
Basic Financial Statements:	
Statements of Net Position	13
Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position	14
Statements of Cash Flows	15-16
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements	17-54
Required Supplementary Information Other than MD&A (Unaudited):	
Schedule of Funding Progress - Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB)	55
Schedule of Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability	56
Schedule of Contributions to the Pension Plan	57
Statistical Section (Unaudited)	
Contents	58
Statements of Net Position	59
Changes in Net Position	60
Operating Revenues by Source	61
Retail Water Rates	62
Facility Development Charges (Connection Fees)	63
Principal Retail Rate Payers	64
Outstanding Debt by Type and Number of Connections	65
Schedule of Net Revenues	66
Demographic and Economic Statistics – Sacramento County	67
Principal Employers – Sacramento County	68
Annual Water Production	69
Wheeling Water Deliveries	70
Operating Activity	71-72
Full-Time Equivalent Employees	73
Compliance Report	
Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance	
And Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements	
Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	74-75







Daniel R. York



President - Craig M. Locke Vice President - Neil W. Schild David A. Jones Kevin M. Thomas Robert P. Wichert

April 2, 2018

Board of Directors Sacramento Suburban Water District Sacramento, California

We are pleased to present this Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) of the Sacramento Suburban Water District (District) for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016. The District is required under State law to publish, within six months of the close of each fiscal year, a complete set of basic financial statements presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). These basic financial statements are required to be audited in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the State Controller's *Minimum Audit Requirements for California Special Districts*.

This CAFR consists of management's representations concerning the District's finances. Consequently, management assumes full responsibility for the completeness and reliability of the information presented in this CAFR. To provide a reasonable basis for making these representations, management has established a comprehensive internal control framework designed to protect the District's assets from loss, theft, and misuse and to compile sufficient reliable information for the preparation of the District's financial statements in conformity with GAAP. Because the cost of internal controls should not outweigh their benefits, the District's comprehensive framework of internal controls has been designed to provide reasonable rather than absolute assurance that the financial statements are free of material misstatement. As management, we assert that, to the best of our knowledge and belief, this CAFR is complete and reliable in all material respects.

The District's basic financial statements have been audited by Richardson & Company, LLP, an independent firm of licensed certified public accountants. In their role as independent auditors, Richardson & Company, LLP worked directly for the Board of Directors and the Board's standing Finance and Audit Committee. The goal of the independent audit is to provide reasonable assurance that the District's basic financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 are free of material misstatement. The independent audit involved examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements; assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management; and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. The independent auditor concluded, based upon the audit, that there was a reasonable basis for rendering an unmodified opinion that the District's basic financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 are fairly presented in conformity with GAAP. The Independent Auditor's Report is presented as the first component in the Financial Section of this CAFR.

GAAP requires that management provide a narrative introduction, overview and analysis to accompany the basic financial statements in the form of Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A). This transmittal letter is

designed to complement the MD&A and should be read in conjunction with it. The District's MD&A can be found immediately following the Independent Auditor's Report in the Financial Section of this CAFR.

District Profile

The District's mission is "to deliver a high quality, reliable supply of water and superior customer service at the lowest responsible water rate." District goals in support of this mission include: assuring a safe and reliable supply of high quality water in an environmentally responsible and sustainable manner for District customers; planning, constructing, operating and maintaining the District water system facilities embracing sustainable practices to provide reliable delivery of high quality water; assuring superior customer service; ensuring effective and efficient management and public reporting of all District financial processes; and providing leadership on regional, statewide and national water management issues that affect the District.

The District was formed on February 1, 2002 under the State of California's County Water District Law by the consolidation of the Northridge Water District and the Arcade Water District. The consolidation was approved and ordered by the Sacramento County Local Agency Formation Commission. The District is located in Sacramento County, north of the American River and serves a large suburban area including portions of Citrus Heights, Carmichael, North Highlands, City of Sacramento (City), and Antelope, as well as McClellan Business Park (formerly McClellan Air Force Base). The District serves water to a population of approximately 177,900 through 46,318 connections.

The District is governed by a 5-member board of directors, each of which is elected to four-year terms from geographical divisions by the registered voters residing in each division of the District. The terms of the Directors are staggered, with the Directors from Divisions 1 and 2 elected at the same statewide general election and the Directors from Divisions 3, 4 and 5 elected at the general election two years later.

The District's service area covers approximately 36 square miles. The District's territory is substantially built out. Based on the State's Department of Water Resources Population Tool projections, the District's population is expected to be 190,700 in 2031, when the District is expected to be fully built out having 50,250 connections. Other than residential and commercial in-fill projects, and industrial and commercial development at the McClellan Business Park, the District does not expect significant additional development within its territory.

The service area experiences cool and humid winters and hot and dry summers. The combination of hot and dry weather results in higher water demands during the summer than in winter. Fluctuation in water production from year-to-year typically results from weather conditions in the spring and fall. Demand during the summer and winter generally does not vary significantly from one year to the next with the notable exception of recent drought conservation efforts. The District's water conservation efforts, including ongoing meter retrofitting, have resulted in a lowering of per capita water use over the past several years.

The distribution system, including storage, pump stations and interconnections, has roughly 696 miles of pipeline that range in size from 48-inch transmission mains down to 4-inch distribution mains. There are 49 emergency interties with neighboring agencies along the District's boundary. The District has 7 storage tanks with a collective capacity to hold approximately 16 million gallons of water. There are a total of 6 booster pumping stations in the District, three of which are co-located with major storage tanks.

Water Supplies and Management

California has recently experienced a historic four-year drought followed by one of the wettest years on record in weather year 2017. 2018 is shaping up to be another dryer than normal year. The District continues to enjoy an ample supply of groundwater due to its investment in both surface water and groundwater infrastructure and supply sources. In response to Executive Orders issued by the Governor in both 2014 and 2015, the District adopted a "Water Shortage Contingency Plan" (Plan). In 2014, the Plan, amongst other actions, asked customers to voluntarily reduce their water consumption by 20%. In 2015, due to mandatory actions implemented by the California State Water Resources Control Board, beginning in June, customers were asked to reduce their water consumption by 32% compared to a base year of 2013/2014. As a result of these efforts, District water demand declined by 15.5% in 2015 compared to calendar year 2014 and 15.6% in 2014 compared to calendar year 2013. In 2017, as the drought alleviated, water demand rebounded to some degree but per capita demand remained well below 2012 pre-drought levels.

The water supply of the District is a combination of both surface water and groundwater. Historically, the District had used groundwater as its water supply source; however, in 1997, the District initiated a conjunctive use program, supplementing its groundwater supply with surface water to address the declining groundwater table using in-lieu recharge. The District has made significant investments to put surface water supply and conjunctive use facilities in place, and as a result of these investments, groundwater levels have stabilized or improved.

The District pumps its groundwater from approximately 107 wells (73 active). All of the wells pump directly into the distribution system and range between 270 and 1,310 feet deep. The wells of the District are located in the North American Sub-basin which is part of the Sacramento Valley Groundwater Basin. While groundwater levels fluctuate based on hydrological conditions, groundwater levels historically declined within the District over the 50 years prior to the millennium at an average rate of approximately 1.5 to 2.0 feet per year. Since 2000, however, groundwater levels in the portion of the North American Sub basin from which the District pumps water have stabilized, in large part because of increasing surface water acquisitions by the District in addition to customer conservation practices. Peak season average daily demand from the District's wells is approximately 134 acre feet and is sufficient to supply 100% of water demand within the District. The District is part of the Sacramento Groundwater Authority (SGA), a regional entity formed to manage, stabilize and sustain the Groundwater Basin. Under the provisions of SGA, the District's annual average pumping allotment for groundwater is 35,035 acre-feet. Amounts pumped in excess of this target are subtracted from the District's "Exchangeable Water Balance" (banked groundwater). Amounts of groundwater pumped below this target that are replaced with purchased surface water are added to the District's "Exchangeable Water Balance" with the District credited for in the in-lieu groundwater banked. As of December 31, 2017, the District has banked 210,870 acre-feet of groundwater that it may use at its discretion subject to limitations placed on the District such as the Governor's Drought Emergency Executive Orders, and subject to future potential regulations imposed under the 2014 Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA).

In addition to groundwater, the District currently imports surface water from three supply sources when available. The District purchases surface water from Placer County Water Agency (PCWA), supplied from the Middle Fork American River and delivered to Folsom Reservoir and Section 215 Central Valley Water Project water from the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBR), when available. The PCWA and USBR water are treated by San Juan Water District at the Peterson Water Treatment Plant pursuant to contract and then conveyed through District-owned transmission pipelines or contracted pipeline capacity into the District's water distribution system in the North Service Area. Commencing in 2007, the District also began receiving American River water purchased pursuant to a contract with the City of Sacramento (City). The City water is diverted and treated by the City at its E.A. Fairbairn Water Treatment Plant and conveyed through District-owned pipeline capacity for distribution to

customers in the District's South Service Area. All of these sources of surface water ultimately depend upon unimpaired inflow to Folsom Reservoir, or releases from Folsom Reservoir to the Lower American River, and are not available in all years or at all times. The District generally plans to increase surface water deliveries and reduce groundwater deliveries during wet hydrological years and to rely on groundwater deliveries and reduce surface water deliveries during dry hydrological years in a conjunctively managed fashion. This supply flexibility positions the District very favorably with California's new Sustainable Groundwater Management Act.

Revenue Sources

The District's annual revenues come primarily from water sales. Operating revenues segregate water sales into three components used by the District in setting its water rate structure: consumption charges, service charges and capital facility charges. In addition, the District receives operating revenues from treated water passed through its conveyance system to neighboring water districts as well as from fees charged for certain District services, including penalty charges. Periodically, the District also receives operating revenue from water transfer sales.

The District continues to be in a period of transition as water meters are installed on unmetered residential connections and customers are gradually converted from flat rate accounts to metered rate accounts. Presently, 86 percent of the District is metered, with all connections expected to be fully metered before the year 2025. Current flat rate accounts include a fixed monthly service charge with a "variable" charge based on parcel size. The fixed portion of the charge reflects the estimated fixed costs of service as well as a base water allotment considered sufficient for indoor usage. The portion of the service charge tied to parcel size is intended to reflect an estimate of water usage for irrigation purposes. A capital facility charge is applied based on connection size and is intended to cover pay-as-you-go capital improvements and debt service charges. The District offers a water meter and metered billing to any flat-rate customer on request.

Current residential metered rates include fixed service charges plus two-tier water usage rates as well as a capital facility charge based on connection size. The tier structure includes 10 cubic feet (CCF) per month at a lower initial rate with usage in excess of 10 CCF at a higher rate. 10 CCF is equivalent to roughly 250 gallons per day, and is intended to approximate typical indoor water usage for residential customers. Non-residential customers are subject to fixed service charges plus a seasonal water usage rate structure as well as a capital facility charge based on connection size. Under the seasonal rates a higher rate applies to water usage during peak months (May through October) and a lower rate during non-peak months (November through April). All non-residential customers are on metered accounts.

The District's sources of non-operating revenue come primarily from state and federal capital grants, developer contributions, rental income and investment income.

Local Economy¹

The information presented in the financial statements is perhaps best understood when it is considered from the broader perspective of the specific environment within which the District operates. Sacramento County (County) is the eighth most populous county in California with a population of roughly 1.5 million and is home to the state's capital. The County sits in the middle of the agriculturally rich 400-mile long Central Valley of California. Sacramento's four-county metropolitan statistical area (metro-area) is the largest metro-area in the Central Valley and is the fifth largest metro-area in the state. From 2011-2016, the population of Sacramento County grew at an annual average rate of 1.0%. Employment in the County consists of a labor force of 699,400 with an unemployment

_

¹ Source: State of California, Department of Transportation, Socio Economic Files, Sacramento County Economic Forecast.

rate of 4.7% in 2017 down from 5.4% in 2016. Employment and population are projected to grow by an average 1.1% and 1.0% per annum, respectively, over the next five years in the County.

The County's economy is broadly based. Government, professional and business services are the largest sectors of employment, while education, healthcare, professional services, wholesale and retail trade, leisure and hospitality follow. Because it contains the state capital, the County's economy is highly influenced by the public sector. Government jobs account for roughly 12% of total employment. Healthcare providers such as UC Davis Health Systems, Sutter Health, Kaiser Permanente and Dignity Health, along with technology based Intel and Apple are large private employers in the county. Job creation over the next five years is expected to come predominantly from professional and business services, followed by education, healthcare, and leisure and hospitality.

Long-Term Financial Planning

The District currently has several major construction projects underway. These projects involve rehabilitation or replacement of existing facilities to ensure system reliability, to enhance operational efficiency, to protect the environment and public health, and to comply with water quality regulations. With an aging infrastructure, the District has dedicated significant resources to develop a comprehensive infrastructure assessment and has established specific asset management plans for the ultimate needs of its facilities (typically to the end of each asset's useful service life). These asset plans are intended to allow the District to better plan and budget for future capital facility needs, consisting primarily of capital replacements, which is one of the most significant challenges facing the District. As the future capital needs of the District are primarily repair and replacement projects, the District intends to fund such projects through pay-as-you-go financing rather than the issuance of additional debt.

Relevant Financial Policies and Controls

Key District Financial Policies include the Water Service Charges and Rate Setting Policy, Reserve Policy, Debt Management Policy and the Budget Policy. Such policies, when coupled with the District's Internal Control Structure, guide and protect the financial position of the District.

Water Service Charges and Rate Setting Policy

This policy serves to provide guidance and consistency in District financial planning and the rate setting decision-making process for the Board of Directors and staff. The policy also provides a foundation for the long-term financial sustainability of the District, while providing the outside financial community with a better understanding of the District's commitment to managing itself in a financially prudent and sustainable manner.

Reserve Policy

The purpose of this policy is to establish a reserve fund level that is specific to the needs and risks of the District; to identify when and how reserve funds are utilized and replenished; and to recognize the long-term nature of such funds and their relationship to current and projected customer rates. The District's financial reserve fund comprises various funds established for specific purposes and to mitigate certain risks. Collectively, these funds enable the District to operate in a safe and prudent manner, with the Policy providing for appropriate oversight.

Debt Management Policy

The District recognizes the issuance of long-term debt is a valuable funding source. Used appropriately and prudently, long-term debt can minimize the District's water system charges and rates over time. To minimize dependency on debt financing, the District strives to fund annual renewal and replacement capital projects from rates. The District intends to manage itself to exceed the minimum target Debt Service Coverage (DSC) requirements imposed by bond covenants. The District will not issue long-term debt to support operating costs.

Budget Policy

The District's budget serves as the foundation for financial planning and control. The budget is a one year financial plan for operating and maintenance expenses and capital projects and is adopted by the Board of Directors prior to each new calendar year. The budget is based on certain policies set by the Board of Directors and is reviewed monthly as part of the District's regular financial reporting process. The Board of Directors must approve all supplemental amendments to the budgets and transfers between budgets. The General Manager directs the Finance Director/District Treasurer in transfers between category levels within individual adopted budgets throughout the fiscal year with subsequent reporting to the Board of Directors.

Internal Controls

District management is responsible for establishing and maintaining an internal control structure designed to ensure that the assets of the District are protected from loss, theft, or misuse. The internal control composition is designed to ensure that adequate accounting data are compiled to allow for the preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles and other reporting, including regulatory reporting, in accordance with the requirements of such reporting. The internal control structure is also designed to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that these objectives are met. The concept of reasonable assurance recognizes that (1) the cost of a control should not exceed the benefits likely to be derived, and (2) the valuation of costs and benefits requires estimates and judgments by management.

Major Initiatives

Major initiatives the District is pursuing include regional efforts regarding water supply and quality, including water conservation and metering. Selected from the recently developed asset management plans, the 2018 budget calls for certain capital improvement projects totaling \$19.2 million. Major projects include: well replacements and rehabilitation of \$4.9 million and distribution main replacements and improvements of \$13.9 million; which includes water meter installations and replacements.

Awards and Acknowledgments

The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA) awarded a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting to the District for its CAFR for the year ended December 31, 2016. In order to be awarded a Certificate of Achievement, a government must publish an easily readable and efficiently organized comprehensive annual financial report. This report must satisfy both generally accepted accounting principles and applicable legal requirements.

A Certificate of Achievement is valid for a period of one year only. We believe that our current CAFR continues to meet the Certificate of Achievement Program's requirements and we are submitting it to the GFOA to determine its eligibility for another certificate.

Preparation of this CAFR was accomplished by the combined efforts of District staff. We appreciate the dedicated efforts and professionalism that our staff members bring to the District. We would also like to thank the Board of Directors for their continued support in the planning, implementation and oversight of the financial and internal control policies of the District.

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel R. York

General Manager

Daniel A. Bills

Finance Director and District Treasurer



Government Finance Officers Association

Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting

Presented to

Sacramento Suburban Water District California

For its Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the Fiscal Year Ended

December 31, 2016

Chuitophe P. Morrill
Executive Director/CEO

Principal District Officials

Board of Directors - Elected Officials

Title	Name	Division	Current Term
President	Craig M. Locke	Division 5	12/2014-12/2018
Vice President	Neil W. Schild	Division 2	12/2016-12/2020
Director	David A. Jones	Division 1	12/2016-12/2020
Director	Kevin M. Thomas	Division 4	12/2014-12/2018
Director	Robert P. Wichert	Division 3	12/2014-12/2018

Staff - Appointed Officials


Title	Name
General Manager and Secretary	Daniel R. York
Finance Director and District Treasurer	Daniel A. Bills
District Counsel	Bartkiewicz, Kronick & Shanahan, Joshua M. Horowitz

Additional Key Management Staff

Title	Name
Assistant General Manager	Open
Operations Manager	Matthew T. Underwood
Engineering Manager	Dana S. Dean
Administrative Services Manager	Annette L. O'Leary



Organization Chart



SSWD Administrative Office


3701 Marconi Avenue, Suite 100 | Sacramento, CA 95821-5346


Phone: 916.972.7171 | Fax: 916.972.7639

Office Hours: 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Monday-Friday

Web site: sswd.org

12/19/2017







550 Howe Avenue, Suite 210 Sacramento, California 95825

Telephone: (916) 564-8727 FAX: (916) 564-8728



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Board of Directors Sacramento Suburban Water District Sacramento, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Sacramento Suburban Water District (the District), which comprise the statements of net position as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the related statements of revenues, expenses, changes in net position, and cash flows for the years then ended and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the State Controller's Minimum Audit Requirements for California Special Districts. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

To the Board of Directors Sacramento Suburban Water District

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the District as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 and the results of its operations and cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as well as accounting systems prescribed by the State Controller's Office and state regulations governing special districts.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that management's discussion and analysis, the Schedule of Funding Progress – Other Postemployment Benefits, the Schedule of Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Obligation and the Schedule of Contributions to the Pension Plan be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The introductory and statistical sections listed in the table of contents are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. The introductory and statistical sections have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on them.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated April 2, 2018, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations and contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Richardson & Company, LLP

April 2, 2018

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) provides a general overview and analysis of the financial activities and performance of the District for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016. Readers are encouraged to consider the information presented here in conjunction with the Transmittal Letter and the Independent Auditor's Report which precedes this analysis, and the Basic Financial Statements and related Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Notes), which follow.

Operational Activities and Highlights

Operating activity of the District varies, sometimes significantly, from year to year with the resulting changes affecting District revenues, expenses, capital project expenditures and overall cash flows. Those activities that tend to drive District costs most notably for the periods ending December 2017, 2016, and 2015, are highlighted below.

Water Activities and Highlights

- California recently experienced a historic four-year drought followed by one of the wettest years on record in weather year 2017. To date, 2018 is expected to be a dryer than normal year. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the District continues to enjoy an ample supply of groundwater due to its investment in both surface water and groundwater infrastructure and supply sources. In response to the January 17, 2014 executive order and the 2015 Executive Order, discussed under the caption "—California Drought and Response" above, the District adopted a "Water Shortage Contingency Plan" (the "Contingency Plan"). In 2014, the Contingency Plan, amongst other actions, asked customers to voluntarily reduce their water consumption by 20%. In June 2015, due to mandatory actions implemented by the California State Water Resources Control Board, customers were asked to reduce their water consumption by 32% compared to a base year of 2013/2014. As a result of these efforts, District water demand declined by 15.5% in 2015 compared to calendar year 2014 and 15.6% in 2014 compared to calendar year 2013. In 2017, as the recent drought ended, water demand rebounded to some extent but per capita demand remained significantly below 2012 pre-drought levels. Also effecting water consumption sales changes for each of the past three years was a 4.0 percent rate increase effective January 1 of each year.
- For the period ended December 31, 2017, the District supplied 31,254 acre-feet of water to 46,318 retail connections, compared to 29,312 acre-feet of water to 46,650 connections in 2016, and 27,502 acre-feet of water to 46,414 connections in 2015. In 2017 and 2016, the water supplied included 11,463 and 11,448 acre-feet of surface water, respectively, while for 2015 all of the water supplied was groundwater.
- The District's groundwater and surface water supplies are sufficient to meet its current and future needs. The water delivered to District's customers meets the regulatory requirements established by the USEPA and State Water Resources Control Board's Division of Drinking Water. Regulations governing drinking water quality require that the District comply with certain maximum contaminant levels established at the federal and state levels. If the water quality of a source exceeds the regulatory threshold of a constituent, that source is removed from active service or, treatment is provided. The District regularly tests for over 120 different constituents in its raw and treated water. The results of any detected constituents are published in the "Consumer Confidence Report" or, "Annual Water Quality Report" that is distributed to customers each year in early summer.

Capital Project Activities and Highlights

- The District is continuously working on various major construction projects, most of which are related to the replacement of distribution system mainlines that are near the end of their useful service life and the installation of water meters to meet state law requirements as discussed more fully below. In 2017, approximately 5.5 miles of new pipeline and appurtenances were installed at a cost of \$9.1 million, compared to 7.8 miles in 2016 and 6.5 miles in 2015 at costs of \$9.7 million and \$12.0 million, respectively. Total expenditures for all capital projects in 2017, 2016, and 2015 were \$13.0 million, \$17.4 million and \$18.6 million, respectively.
- In accordance with state law, the District is continuing its progress to have all its connections on water meters by the year 2025. During 2017, 1,591 new meters were installed, compared to 2,101 in 2016 and 1,791 in 2015. As of December 31, 2017, approximately 86 percent of the District's connections are on water meters compared to 83 percent at the end of 2016 and 78 percent at the end of 2015. Accordingly, the District is on track to meet this mandate.

Description of the Basic Financial Statements

The Basic Financial Statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the District's finances. Since the District's primary function is to deliver a high quality, reliable supply of water to its consumers and recover the associated costs through customer fees and charges, the Basic Financial Statements report activities in a manner similar to a private-sector business. The Basic Financial Statements are as follows:

The Statements of Net Position present information on all the District's assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities and deferred inflows of resources, with the difference reported as net position, some of which is restricted in accordance with debt covenants. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the District's financial position is improving or deteriorating.

The Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position present information showing how the District's net position changed during the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs regardless of the timing of the related cash flows. In other words, the District reports expenses and revenues on an accrual basis rather than a cash basis.

The Statements of Cash Flows present information on the sources and uses of cash within the District throughout the year that serves to explain the change in the amount of the District's cash and cash equivalents that are on hand at the end of each year. Cash generation and/or usage from four different activity-types of the District are provided: operations, non-capital financing, capital and related financing, and investing.

The Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Notes) provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the Basic Financial Statements.

The Required Supplementary Information Section of this Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) includes information describing the District's contributions to, and funding progress of, the postemployment benefits other than pensions for District employees.

The Statistical Section of this CAFR provides selected financial and operational data over the last ten years where available, which includes the Schedule of Net Revenues showing the District's debt service coverage ratio.

Condensed Statements of Net Position

(Dollars in Thousands)

Assets	2017		2016	C	Change	2015	Change		
Current assets	\$ 11,061	\$	7,944	\$	3,116	\$ 7,258	\$	676	
Non-current assets	39,875		38,165		1,710	40,702	(2,5)	537)	
Capital assets, net	290,266		286,707		3,559	279,378	7,	,329	
Total assets	341,203		332,816		8,386	327,338	5,	478	
Deferred outflows	9,485		9,400		85	9,276		124	
Liabilities									
Current liabilities	9,256		9,314		(58)	8,583		731	
Non-current liabilities	94,866		98,484		(3,618)	101,940	(3,4	1 56)	
Total liabilities	104,122		107,798		(3,676)	110,523	(2,7	725)	
Deferred inflows	1,134		558		576	799	(2	241)	
Net position									
Net investment in capital assets	207,156		199,526		7,630	188,248	11,	278	
Restricted for debt service fund	3,548		3,540		8	3,523		17	
Unrestricted	34,727		30,794		3,933	33,521	(2,7)	727)	
Total net position	\$ 245,431	\$	233,860	\$	11,571	\$ 225,292	\$ 8,	568	

Referring to the table above, total assets increased by \$8.4 million compared to December 31, 2016, to a total of \$341.2 million as of December 31, 2017. The principal reason for the increase continues to be investments in capital assets as the District replaces its aging infrastructure. The source of funds for capital projects is primarily customer "Capital Facility Charges" collected monthly, as well as state and federal grants when available.

Current assets increased by \$3.1 million in 2017 compared to \$0.7 million in 2016. The 2017 increase was primarily the result of a \$3.4 million increase in cash and cash equivalents as District expenditures on Capital Improvement Projects (CIP) was less than 2016 and 2015 due to permitting and other delays in two major CIP projects. The 2016 increase was primarily due to the liquidation of \$3.0 million of investments during the year (investments are classified as non-current assets) less a \$0.5 million decrease in receivables resulting from payments received from a neighboring water district on a joint capital project. In addition, since 2010 current assets have generally decreased due to actions taken by the Board of Directors to reduce District cash reserve levels by adopting annual budgets where anticipated expenses exceed expected revenues.

Non-current assets increased by \$1.7 million in 2017 compared to 2016 primarily due to a \$1.2 million increase in investments as deferred cash expenditures on CIP projects were delayed until 2018. In 2017, non-current assets decreased by \$2.5 million in 2016, compared to a decrease of \$2.8 million in 2015. The decrease in 2016 and 2015

is primarily attributable to the liquidation of \$3.0 million and \$3.2 million, respectively, in investments needed for operations as discussed above. Investments comprise the majority of non-current assets. The District continues to invest most of its available cash in the capital markets and maintains a minimal investment in the state's investment pool. The investment portfolio had an unrealized market value loss of \$0.3 million as of December 31, 2017 compared to an unrealized loss of \$0.2 million as of December 31, 2016.

Capital assets, net, increased \$3.6 million in 2017 due primarily to the construction and replacement of a portion (5.5 miles) of the District's transmission and distribution system, the addition and/or improvements to well facilities, and the installation of 1,591 new water meters. Several other capital asset construction projects are in various stages of completion with costs incurred as of December 31, 2017 amounting to \$10.9 million (see Note 6).

As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, deferred outflows of resources primarily include deferred gains and losses on advance debt refundings as well as the recognition of pension expense in accordance with the provisions of Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statements No. 68 and 71.

Total liabilities decreased by \$3.7 million to \$104.1 million as of December 31, 2017. The decrease in 2017 primarily reflects expected decreases in the amount of debt outstanding due to scheduled principal payments of \$4.1 million. Similarly, the \$2.7 million decrease in 2016 primarily reflects scheduled debt principal payments of \$3.9 million.

Non-current liabilities - As part of its debt management strategy, the \$81.6 million debt principal outstanding as of December 31, 2017 includes both fixed-rate debt - \$39.6 million, and variable-rate debt - \$42.0 million (as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the District had debt principal outstanding of \$85.6 million and \$89.6 million, respectively). The variable-rate debt is supported by an irrevocable direct-pay Letter of Credit provided with an international bank that expires in June 2023, and is partially hedged by a pay-fixed, receive-variable interest rate swap with a notional amount of \$33.3 million as of December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015. During 2012, the terms of the swap were changed resulting in an "imputed borrowing" equivalent to the negative fair market value of the "offmarket" portion of the swap of \$6.7 million at the time of restructure, the unamortized portion of which is \$5.0 million, and is reported as a component of long-term debt in the Statements of Net Position. As of December 31, 2017, the negative fair market value of the swap decreased from negative \$6.7 million as of December 31, 2016 to negative \$6.3 million. Accordingly, the fair market value of the "on market" component of the swap, reported as a deferred inflow of resources and a noncurrent asset was \$487,057 as of December 31, 2017. As of December 31, 2016, the fair market value of the swap was \$14,266. The imputed borrowing "off-market" portion of the swap, which is amortized over the life of the swap, was \$5.0 million and \$5.3 million as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively (see Note 8).

The District realized an overall increase in net position of \$11.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, compared to \$8.6 million and \$12.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The components of net position as of December 31, 2017 are:

The largest component of District net position is the District's net investment in capital assets which
increased by \$7.6 million to \$207.2 million as of December 31, 2017, and is comprised of total capital
assets (net of accumulated depreciation) plus deferred outflows on long-term debt refundings less related
long-term debt used to acquire those assets that are still outstanding. The District uses these capital assets

to provide services to customers within the District's service area; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending.

- Restricted net position consists solely of debt reserves held in escrow for the District's debt obligations.
 Changes to this amount in any one year are minor and typically reflect interest earned or market value changes on invested reserves held in escrow.
- At the end of 2017, 2016 and 2015, the District showed a positive balance in its unrestricted net position of \$34.7 million, \$30.8 million, and \$33.5 million, respectively. The increase of \$3.9 million in the unrestricted net position for 2017 reflects the deferral of two CIP projects contemplated for completion in 2017 to 2018 in the amount of \$3.7 million. Because of the deferral, a portion of 2017 revenues collected from customers for capital facilities charges will not be expended until 2018.

Condensed Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position (Dollars in Thousands)

	2017		2016	Change		2015		Change	
Revenues									
Operating revenues	\$	44,162	\$ 41,083	\$	3,079	\$	38,690	\$	2,393
Rental revenue		258	261		(3)		250		11
Interest and investment revenue		495	558		(63)		458		100
Grant revenue pass-through to sub recipients		407	764		(357)		369		395
Other non-operating revenues		197	18		179		114		(96)
Total revenues		45,519	42,684		2,836		39,881		2,803
Expenses									
Operating expenses		20,870	19,846		1,024		16,854		2,992
Depreciation and amortization		12,182	11,808		374		11,229		579
Interest expense		3,450	3,561		(111)		3,633		(72)
Sub recipient grant expense		407	764		(357)		369		395
Other non-operating expenses		3	16		(13)		-		16
Total expenses		36,912	35,995		917		32,085		3,910
Net revenue before capital contributions		8,608	6,689		1,919		7,796	(1,107)
Capital contributions		2,963	1,879		1,084		5,049	(3,170)
Change in net position		11,571	8,568		3,003		12,845	(-	4,277)
Net position, beginning of year		233,860	225,292		8,568		212,447	1	12,845
Net position, end of year	\$	245,431	\$ 233,860	\$	1,571	\$	225,292	\$	8,568

From the table above it can be seen that the District's net position increased by \$11.6 million for the period ended December 31, 2017 compared to \$8.6 million for 2016 and \$12.8 million in 2015. The increases result from a variety of factors as described more fully below.

The District's operating revenues come primarily from its customers. The District charges a metered rate to its commercial customers and a metered or flat rate to residential customers depending on whether the service

connections of such customers have a water meter. All District customers, regardless of the type of service, are charged an operation and maintenance charge (service charge) and a capital facilities charge based on the size of their respective service lines. Capital facility charges are established for the purpose of paying for capital project and debt service costs.

In addition, as shown in the table above, and as more fully illustrated in the table below, operating revenues increased by \$3.1 million (7.5 percent) compared to the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to the increase in retail water consumption sales of \$1.5 million as retail water production was up 6.6 percent in 2017 as described more fully above in the section above entitled "Water Activities and Highlights." In addition, a 4.0 percent general water rate increase was effective for all of 2017. In 2016, operating revenues increased by \$2.4 million (6.2 percent) as retail water production was up 6.6 percent as more fully described above. In 2015, operating revenues decreased by \$0.2 million as retail water production was down 15.8 percent due primarily to the effects of the continued drought in California mitigated somewhat by a 4.0 percent general water rate increase that was in effect for all of 2015.

Operating Revenues

(Dollars in Thousands)

	2017		2016		hange	2015		\mathbf{C}	hange
Operating Revenues									
Water consumption sales	\$ 12,543	\$	11,053	\$	1,490	\$	9,644	\$	1,409
Water service charge	6,366		6,349		17		6,402		(53)
Capital facilities charge	23,499		22,575		924		21,646		929
Wheeling water charge	676		167		509		6		161
Other charges for services	1,077		939		138		992		(53)
Total operating revenues	\$ 44,161	\$	41,083	\$	3,078	\$	38,690	\$	2,393

Non-operating revenues consist primarily of rental revenue, interest and investment income, and grant revenue to be passed through to subrecipients as the District was the lead agency in securing various grants.

Interest and Investment Income – Most of the District's unrestricted cash is invested in fixed-income marketable securities. The yield to maturity on the unrestricted market portfolio as of December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015 was 1.81 percent, 1.43 percent, and 1.32 percent, respectively. The District also invests its restricted cash in fixed-income market securities. The yield to maturity on the restricted portfolio as of December 2017 and 2016 was 0.97 percent and 0.97 percent, respectively.

Capital Income – The District receives various types of income from capital contributions, such as facility development charges, developer contributions and grant income. Regarding grant income, in the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, the District received \$1.1 million, \$0.4 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, in federal and state grant funds for the purposes of installing a new pumping facility, a new well site and the installation of water meters to accelerate the District's Water Meter Replacement Plan that has been established to meet state law.

Capital Contributions – The District receives revenue in the form of water system assets donated by developers that have been inspected by District staff and meet District standards. The District utilizes such assets to meet the needs

of certain of its customers. After a one-year warranty period, the developer is no longer liable to the District. All such assets are accounted for at fair market value at the time of the donation. In 2017, the fair market value of such donated assets was \$1.7 million, compared to \$1.2 million in 2016 and \$1.2 million in 2015.

Operating Expenses

(Dollars in Thousands)

	2017		2016	C	hange	2015		Cl	nange
Operating Expenses									
Source of supply	\$	2,980	\$ 2,471	\$	509	\$	57	\$	2,414
Pumping		4,516	4,852		(336)		5,124		(272)
Transmission and distribution		4,016	3,973		43		3,621		352
Water conservation		452	587		(135)		773		(186)
Customer accounts		1,305	1,145		160		1,159		(14)
Administrative and general		7,600	6,818		782		6,120		698
Total operating expenses	\$	20,869	\$ 19,846	\$	1,023	\$	16,854	\$	2,992

Operating expenses are primarily comprised of purchased treated surface water, electrical and chemical treatment costs of producing potable well water, ongoing system repairs and maintenance, and employee salaries and benefits. The volatility of such expenses from one year to another is primarily driven by the amount of surface water purchased in that year compared to the previous year. As shown in the table above, operating expenses increased in 2017 by \$1.0 million (5.2 percent) relative to 2016, primarily due to the purchase of treated surface water that was available in 2017. In addition pension expense increased to \$1.6 million in 2017 up from \$1.0 million in 2016. In 2016, operating expenses increased by \$3.0 million (18.0 percent) relative to 2015, primarily due to increased purchased surface water costs.

Non-operating expenses consist primarily of interest expense on the District's debt and the pass-through of grant revenues to subrecipients on grants where the District was the lead agency in securing the grant. Interest expense decreased by \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million in 2017 relative to 2016 and 2016 relative to 2015, respectively, due primarily to reduced debt principal outstanding, as market rates were relatively stable in both years.

Capital Asset Administration

(Dollars in Thousands)

Changes in capital asset amounts for 2017 were as follows:

]	Balance 2016		dditions/ ransfers		eletions/ ransfers	Balance 2017			
Capital assets:										
Non-depreciable assets	\$	9,761	\$	13,483	\$	(6,460)	\$	16,784		
Depreciable & amortizable assets		435,906		2,283		3,516		441,705		
Accumulated depreciation & amortization		(158,960)		(12,182)		2,920	((168,222)		
Total capital assets, net	\$ 286,707		\$ 286,707		286,707 \$		\$ (24)		\$	290,267

Changes in capital asset amounts for 2016 were as follows:

		Balance 2015	 dditions/ ransfers	_	eletions/ ransfers	_	alance 2016
Capital assets:							
Non-depreciable assets	\$	10,180	\$ 17,939	\$	(18,357)	\$	9,761
Depreciable & amortizable assets		416,875	19,568		(537)		435,906
Accumulated depreciation & amortization		(147,677)	(11,807)		524	()	158,960)
Total capital assets, net	\$ 279,378		\$ 25,700	\$	(18,370)	\$	286,707

As a water utility, most of the District's assets and annual expenditures relate to the construction, repair and maintenance of its infrastructure. Repair and replacement of aging infrastructure is one of the most significant challenges facing the District. The District assesses and manages its infrastructure and other capital asset needs through prudent and cost focused planning taking into consideration both current and future water resource availability, consumer peak-demand needs, maximizing the use of existing facilities, consideration for climatic changes, and other such assessments that can be used to sustain service to both current and future consumers. Infrastructure assessment also includes assessing each asset's risk of failure and the attendant consequences to District operations. For each infrastructure asset group a long-term asset management plan has been developed. Infrastructure plans for all District assets are now in place. Despite such plans having a long-term focus, typically to the end of each asset's useful service life, each plan is reviewed and reassessed biannually. See Note 6 for more detailed information on capital asset activity.

Funding for capital projects comes primarily from District customers via a monthly "Capital Facilities Charge" levied by the District for the payment of capital projects and debt service costs. In addition to customer charges, the District also utilizes state and federal grant funds when available for funding capital projects. In 2017, the District received \$1.1 million in grant funds, compared to \$0.4 million in both 2016 and 2015. As of December 31, 2017, the District has \$9.6 million in financial obligations to contractors on its open construction contracts. See Note 16 for more information.

Long-Term Debt Administration

(Dollars in Thousands)

Changes in long-term debt amounts for 2017 were as follows:

	В	alance					В	alance
	2016		Additions		Retirements			2017
Long-term debt:								
2009A Certificates of participation	\$	42,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	42,000
2009B Certificates of participation		24,095		-		(2,030)		22,065
2012A Revenue bond		19,520		-		(2,030)		17,490
Other (1)		8,887		-		(654)		8,233
Total long-term debt	\$	94,502	\$	-	\$	(4,714)	\$	89,788

Changes in long-term debt amounts for 2016 were as follows:

	Ba	lance					В	alance
	2015			Additions		etirements		2016
Long-term debt:								
2009A Certificates of participation	\$	42,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	42,000
2009B Certificates of participation		26,045		-		(1,950)		24,095
2012A Revenue bond		21,515		-		(1,995)		19,520
Other (1)		9,534		-		(647)		8,887
Total long-term debt	\$	99,094	\$	-	\$	(4,592)	\$	94,502

⁽¹⁾ Consists of unamortized long-term debt premiums and an imputed borrowing of an off-market interest rate swap (See Note 8.)

The issuance of long-term debt is a valuable funding resource for the District. Used appropriately and prudently, long-term debt can minimize the District's water system charges and rates over time. The District manages its debt portfolio so as to mitigate risks inherent in debt issuances within an acceptable tolerance level. By managing debt and its repayment, the District is able to reduce various debt-related risk exposures such as market risk, credit risk, renewal risk, basis risk and tax risk, yet still provide the District with financing options to be utilized in future periods if needed. The District considers managing such risks more beneficial than eliminating such risks. As part of its debt management strategy, the District issues both fixed-rate debt and variable-rate debt. The District does not presently intend to issue additional debt. During 2017, the District renegotiated the terms of its irrevocable direct-pay Letter of Credit (LOC) on the Series 2009A COP that extended the term to June 2023.

The District's debt covenants require that "Net Revenues" exceed 115% of annual debt service costs. For compliance history, please see the "Schedule of Net Revenues" in the Statistical Section of this CAFR. For both 2017 and 2016, the District's credit rating was AA+ and Aa2 as rated by Standard and Poor's Ratings Services, Inc. (S&P) and Moody's Investor Services, Inc. (Moody's), respectively. See Note 8 for more information.

2018 Budget

Annually, the District adopts non-appropriated budgets for planning and control purposes. The budgets are adopted on a cash basis. Budgetary controls are set at the department level. The General Manager has the discretion of reallocating budgeted funds between accounts or projects within each budget with subsequent reporting to the Board of Directors.

The District utilizes two primary budgets to manage its activities. One is an annual Capital Budget (divided into two parts - Intermediate-Term Capital and Long-Term Capital) and the other is an Operations and Maintenance Budget. The Long-Term Capital budget is intended to cover all District infrastructure projects that incorporate significant costs or long lead times and planning to complete. The intermediate-term capital budget is intended to incorporate expenditures for operational capital items, such as vehicles, field equipment, back hoes, and computer equipment. The Operations and Maintenance budget covers all recurring operational costs, such as the purchase and treatment of water, repairs and maintenance, employee salaries and benefits and other such expenditures.

On November 20, 2017, the Board approved a \$19.2 million Long-Term Capital budget, a \$1.2 million Intermediate-Term Capital budget and a \$21.9 million Operations and Maintenance budget for calendar year 2018.

Upon adoption of the Long-Term Capital budget, the Board also approved certain capital projects as outlined in the District's asset-management plans developed for each infrastructure asset group.

Conditions Affecting Current Financial Position


California continues to face the threat of severe droughts. Although it is not possible to forecast the impact of the drought on District surface water supplies or the effect, if any, on its financial position, the District has adequate groundwater supplies to meet water demands in its service area through 2018 and beyond.

As noted in Note 17 to the Basic Financial Statements, the District is in the process of advanced refunding its Series 2009B Certificates of Participation in order to lower debt service costs.

Requests for Information

This report is designed to provide a general overview of the District's finances. Questions concerning information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to:

Finance Director Sacramento Suburban Water District 3701 Marconi Avenue, Suite 100 Sacramento, CA 95821-5346





Sacramento Suburban Water District Statements of Net Position December 31, 2017 and 2016

Assets	2017		2016	
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents (note 3)	\$	6,084,811	\$	2,694,456
Restricted cash and cash equivalents (notes 3 and 4)		12,504		263
Receivables, net (note 5)		3,679,482		2,836,913
Inventory		687,362		1,869,899
Prepaids and other current assets		596,879		543,061
Total current assets		11,061,038		7,944,592
Noncurrent assets:				
Investments (note 3)		35,860,505		34,619,873
Restricted investments (notes 3 and 4)		3,527,684		3,531,061
Fair value of interest rate swap (notes 1 and 8)		487,057		14,266
Capital assets not being depreciated (note 6)		16,783,698		9,760,642
Capital assets being depreciated and amortized, net (note 6)		273,482,535		276,946,215
Total noncurrent assets		330,141,479		324,872,057
Total assets		341,202,517		332,816,649
Deferred outflows of resources				
Deferred amount on long-term debt refundings (note 1)		6,678,090		7,321,214
Deferred outflows from pensions (notes 2 and 13)		2,807,227		2,078,534
Total deferred outflows of resources		9,485,317		9,399,748
Liabilities				
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		2,994,149		3,387,304
Accrued interest payable		426,439		465,592
Unearned revenue and customer deposits		895,651		701,140
Compensated absences, current portion (note 7)		700,000		700,000
Current portion of long-term debt (note 8)		4,240,000		4,060,000
Total current liabilities		9,256,239		9,314,036
Noncurrent liabilities:				
Compensated absences (note 7)		319,781		387,883
Net pension liability (notes 2 and 13)		8,997,648		7,654,038
Long-term debt, net of current portion (note 8)		85,548,384		90,441,927
Total noncurrent liabilities		94,865,813		98,483,848
Total liabilities		104,122,052		107,797,884
Deferred inflows of resources				
Deferred inflow of effective swap (notes 1 and 8)		487,057		14,266
Deferred inflows from pension (notes 2 and 13)		647,273		543,791
Total deferred inflows of resources		1,134,330		558,057
Net position				
Net investment in capital assets (note 9)		207,155,939		199,526,144
Restricted for debt service reserve fund (note 10)		3,548,170		3,540,038
Unrestricted (note 11)		34,727,343		30,794,274
Total net position	\$	245,431,452	\$	233,860,456

Sacramento Suburban Water District Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position For the Years Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016

	2017			2016
Operating Revenues:				
Water consumption sales	\$	12,543,644	\$	11,053,442
Water service charge		6,365,984		6,348,507
Capital facilities charge		23,498,931		22,574,500
Wheeling water charge		675,830		167,337
Other charges for services		1,077,174		939,242
Total operating revenues		44,161,563		41,083,028
Operating Expenses:				
Source of supply		2,980,224		2,470,806
Pumping		4,516,090		4,852,232
Transmission and distribution		4,016,335		3,972,951
Water conservation		452,328		587,395
Customer accounts		1,304,645		1,144,521
Administrative and general		7,600,325		6,818,371
Total operating expenses		20,869,947		19,846,276
Operating income before depreciation and amortization		23,291,616		21,236,752
Depreciation and amortization (note 6)		(12,181,912)		(11,807,580)
Operating income		11,109,704		9,429,172
Nonoperating revenues (expenses):				
Rental revenue		258,327		260,922
Interest and investment revenue		494,946		557,770
Interest expense		(3,449,550)		(3,560,697)
Other non-operating revenues		185,205		18,309
Grant revenue pass-through to subrecipients		407,170		763,754
Other non-operating expenses		(3,087)		(3,682)
Subrecipient grant expenses		(407,170)		(763,754)
Gain (loss) on disposal of capital assets, net		12,208		(12,688)
Total nonoperating revenues (expenses), net		(2,501,951)		(2,740,066)
Income before capital contributions		8,607,753		6,689,106
Capital contributions:				
Facility development charges		135,073		264,209
Developer capital contributions		1,740,424		1,216,369
Federal, state and local capital grants		1,087,746		398,603
Total capital contributions		2,963,243		1,879,181
Increase in net position		11,570,996		8,568,287
Net position, beginning of year		233,860,456		225,292,169
Net position, end of year	\$	245,431,452	\$	233,860,456

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements

Sacramento Suburban Water District Statements of Cash Flows For The Years End December 31, 2017 and 2016

		2017		2016
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Cash receipts from customers	\$	43,269,383	\$	41,682,229
Cash paid to suppliers for goods and services	(14,945,862)	(16,032,524)
Cash paid to employees for services		(4,538,225)		(4,630,774)
Other nonoperating receipts		440,445		275,548
Net cash provided by operating activities		24,225,741		21,295,179
Cash flows from non-capital financing activities:				
Grant receipts		407,170		763,754
Pass-through to sub-recipients		(407,170)		(763,754)
Net cash provided by nonoperating financing activities		-		-
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities:				
Acquisition of capital assets	(14,025,116)	(17,933,328)
Proceeds from disposal of capital assets		36,461		-
Principal payments on long-term debt		(4,060,000)		(3,945,000)
Interest payments on long-term debt		(3,169,028)		(3,202,562)
Principal payments on interest rate swap borrowing payable		(330,093)		(323,336)
Facility development charges received		269,657		231,881
Capital grants received		1,238,228		351,000
Net cash used by capital and related financing activities	(20,039,891)	(24,821,345)
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Purchase of investment securities	(23,479,993)	(24,317,655)
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investment securities		22,066,112		26,833,799
Interest received on investment securities		630,627		580,337
Net cash (used) provided by investing activities		(783,255)		3,096,481
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		3,402,596		(429,684)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		2,694,719		3,124,404
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$	6,097,315	\$	2,694,719
Reconciliation of cash and cash equivalents to the statements of net position:	:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	6,084,811	\$	2,694,456
Restricted cash and cash equivalents		12,504		263
Total cash and cash equivalents	\$	6,097,315	\$	2,694,719

Continued on next page

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements

Sacramento Suburban Water District Statements of Cash Flows, Continued For the Years Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016

	2017	2016	
Reconciliation of operating income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Operating income	\$ 11,109,704	\$ 9,429,172	
Adjustments to reconcile operating revenue to net cash provided by operating a	activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	12,181,912	11,807,580	
Bad debt expense	18,716	(6,472)	
Other nonoperating receipts	440,445	275,548	
Change in pension deferred (outflows)	(728,693)	(1,531,808)	
Change in pension inflows	103,482	(254,743)	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities			
(Increase) decrease in operating assets:			
Accounts receivable	(961,854)	32,282	
Other receivables	(8,970)	506,674	
Inventory	1,182,538	(1,384,952)	
Prepaids and other current assets	(53,818)	(203,686)	
Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities:			
Accounts payable	(539,952)	(2,075)	
Unearned revenue	59,927	67,417	
Accrued compensated absences	(68,103)	84,006	
Net pension liability	1,343,610	1,932,020	
Accrued expenses	146,797	544,216	
Total adjustments	13,116,039	11,866,007	
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 24,225,741	\$ 21,295,179	
Noncash investing, capital and financing transactions:			
Receipt of donated capital assets	\$ 1,740,425	\$ 1,216,369	
Change in fair value of investments	(80,171)	(56,168)	
Amortization of premium on long-term debt	(323,449)	(323,449)	
Amortization of defeasance costs on long-term debt	643,124	643,124	
Change in fair value of interest rate swap	472,791	779,490	

(1) Reporting Entity and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The basic financial statements of the District have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) as applied to government units. The Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The more significant of the District's accounting principles are described below.

A. Reporting Entity

The Sacramento Suburban Water District (District) provides water to residential, commercial and industrial customers with a total population of approximately 177,900 through 46,318 connections within its boundaries. The water supply of the District is a combination of both surface water and groundwater. The District was formed on February 1, 2002 under the County Water District Law (California Water Code Sections 30000-33901) by the consolidation of the Northridge Water District and the Arcade Water District. The consolidation was approved and ordered by the Sacramento County Local District Formation Commission under the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 (California Government Code Sections 56000-57550). The District is located in Sacramento County, north of the American River and serves a large suburban area including portions of Citrus Heights, Carmichael, North Highlands, City of Sacramento, and Antelope, as well as McClellan Business Park (formerly McClellan Air Force Base). The District is currently governed by a five member Board of Directors elected by the voters within the District for staggered four year terms, every two years.

B. Component Unit

The Sacramento Suburban Water District Financing Corporation (Corporation), formerly known as the Arcade Water District Financing Corporation, was created to provide assistance in the issuance of debt. The Corporation is a nonprofit public benefit Corporation organized under the laws of the State of California. The Corporation is governed by a five member Board of Directors, each of whom must be a member of the District's Board of Director's. Although legally separate from the District, the Corporation is reported as a "blended" component unit of the District, because it shares a common Board of Directors with the District, and its sole purpose is to provide financing to the District. Debt issued by the Corporation is reflected as debt of the District in the basic financial statements. The Corporation has no financial transactions and does not issue financial statements, therefore combining information is not presented.

C. Jointly Governed Organization

The District is a signatory to the Regional Water Authority's (RWA) Joint Powers Agreement. The RWA was formed to address regional water issues with a mission to serve and represent the regional water supply interests of its 20 members in protecting and enhancing the reliability, availability, affordability and quality of water resources. RWA does not possess nor exercise governing or regulatory authority over its members. The District does not have an ongoing financial responsibility to RWA. The financial transactions between the District and RWA during the years ending December 31, 2017 and 2016, primarily involved the payment of annual membership dues and grant administration expenses, which were not material to the District's financial statements. Copies of RWA's annual financial reports and other pertinent information may be obtained from their office at 5620 Birdcage Street, Suite 180, Citrus Heights, CA 95610, from their website at www.rwah2o.org, or by calling (916) 967-7692.

(1) Reporting Entity and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, continued

D. Basis of Accounting and Principles of Presentation

The District is accounted for as an enterprise fund type of the proprietary fund group and therefore accounts for its operations in a manner similar to a private enterprise since it is the intent of the District to recover its cost of providing goods and services to the public on a continuing basis primarily through user charges.

The accounting and financial reporting treatment applied to a fund is determined by its measurement focus. The basic financial statements reflect the flow of economic resources measurement focus and the full accrual basis of accounting. Under the full accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded at the time the liabilities are incurred regardless of the timing of related cash flows.

The principal operating revenues of the District are charges to customers for water sales and services. Operating expenses include the cost to purchase, pump, treat and deliver water, administrative expenses and depreciation on capital assets. The District distinguishes operating revenues and expenses from non-operating revenues and expenses based on the relationship of the revenue or expense to the production and delivery of water.

Preparation of the basic financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the basic financial statements and reported changes in net position during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

E. Assets, Deferred Outflows, Liabilities, Deferred Inflows and Net Position

1. Unrestricted and Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents

For the purpose of the Statements of Cash Flows, the District considers commercial paper and all short-term debt securities (including those for restricted assets) purchased with an original maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase to be cash and cash equivalents. The District also invests funds with the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF). Due to the high liquidity of this investment, these funds are classified as a cash equivalent.

2. Receivables and Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts

The District extends credit to customers in the normal course of operations. When management deems customer accounts uncollectible, the District goes through various steps to collect on the account. If uncollectible, the District adjusts its uncollectible accounts using the allowance method.

3. Inventory

Inventory consists primarily of water meters, pipe, valves and pipe-fittings for construction and repair of the District's water transmission and distribution system. Inventory is valued at cost using a first-in, first-out method. Inventory items are charged to expense at the time individual items are withdrawn from inventory or consumed.

(1) Reporting Entity and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, continued

4. Prepaid Expenses

Certain payments to vendors reflect costs or deposits applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded as prepaid current assets in the basic financial statements.

5. Investments and Investment Policy

Investments are reported in the accompanying Statements of Net Position at fair value. Changes in fair value that occur during the year are recognized as Interest and Investment revenue reported for that year. Interest and Investment revenue includes interest earnings, changes in fair value, and any gains or losses realized upon the liquidation, maturity or sale of investments.

6. Capital Assets

Capital assets acquired and/or constructed are capitalized at historical cost. Donated capital assets are recorded at acquisition value at the date of donation. Donated easements are recorded at estimated fair market value. Maintenance and repairs are charged to operations when incurred. It is the District's policy to capitalize all capital assets with a cost of more than \$5,000. As more fully explained under the caption "Interest Expense and Income Capitalized," net interest expense incurred during the construction phase of capital assets is included as part of the capitalized value of the constructed assets. Costs of capital assets sold or retired (and the related amounts of accumulated depreciation or amortization) are eliminated from the Statements of Net Position in the year of sale or retirement, and the resulting gain or loss is recognized in non-operating revenues (expenses). Depreciation/amortization is recorded on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets as follows:

•	Pipelines	80 years
•	Reservoirs and Wells	25 to 40 years
•	Water Meters	10 to 20 years
•	Building and Building Improvements	10 to 40 years
•	Vehicles and Equipment	5 to 10 years
•	Furniture and Computers	4 to 7 years
•	Construction-In-Progress	None until placed in service

Intangible assets consist primarily of donated permanent property easements and purchased pipeline capacity in a transmission pipeline owned and operated by San Juan Water District, Granite Bay, California.

7. Interest Expense and Income Capitalization

The District capitalizes interest expense as a component of the cost of construction in progress and offsets capitalized interest cost with interest income related to unspent bond proceeds. No interest cost or interest income was capitalized in the years ended December 31, 2017 or 2016.

(1) Reporting Entity and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, continued

8. Deferred Outflows of Resources - Long-Term Debt Refundings

Unamortized gains and losses resulting from advance debt refundings are classified as deferred outflows of resources.

9. Compensated Absences

Compensated absences are accrued and reported as a liability in the period earned. Amounts payable are included in the Statements of Net Position. The District's policy provides vacation leave to employees at a rate of 12 days up to 25 days per year based on the number of years of employment and is considered earned on a pro-rata basis as of each payroll period throughout the year. Earned vacation leave is paid to employees upon separation from the District. Employees under age 55 are paid once a year for all earned vacation leave exceeding 400 hours at their current hourly rate of pay. Based upon meeting certain criteria, employees may be paid for earned vacation leave at any time. Sick leave accrues at the rate of 96 hours per year and is considered earned on a pro-rata basis as of each payroll period throughout the year. Earned sick leave is paid to employees who are age 55 and older upon retirement at their current hourly rate of pay or may be contributed to the District's deferred compensation plan (See Note 12) or the California Public Employee Retirement System (CalPERS) defined benefit pension plan provided by the District for additional service credit (See Note 13). Employees under age 55 are paid once a year for all earned sick leave exceeding 240 hours at one-half of their current hourly rate of pay (See Note 7).

10. Long-Term Debt Discounts and Premiums

Long-term debt discounts and premiums are deferred and amortized over the life of the related debt using the straight-line method. Long-term debt is reported net of the applicable discounts or premiums.

11. Pensions

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Plan and additions to/deductions from the Plans' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by CalPERS Financial Office. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

12. Deferred Inflows of Resources – Effective Interest Rate Swap

The District entered into an interest rate swap agreement (swap) to manage interest rate risk and reduce debt service costs on variable-rate debt originally issued simultaneous with the swap. The swap is reported in the accompanying Statements of Net Position at fair value as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, determined using the zero-coupon measurement method, which calculates the future net settlement payments based on current forward rates implied by the yield curve. Using the synthetic instrument method, the swap has been determined to serve as an effective cash flow hedge of the District's variable-rate COP obligations. This swap is categorized as Level 2 based on observable market data derived from LIBOR.

13. Net Position

GASB 63 requires that net position be reported as the difference between assets, plus deferred outflows of resources, less liabilities and less deferred inflows of resources. Net position is to be further classified into

(1) Reporting Entity and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, continued

three components: net investment in capital assets, restricted, and unrestricted. In addition, the impact of deferred outflows or inflows of resources on net position must be explained.

- **Net Investment in Capital Assets** This component consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, reduced by the outstanding balances of long-term debt and deferred amounts related to debt refunding used to acquire such assets. These investments are considered non-expendable.
- **Restricted for Debt Service Reserve Fund** This component consists of external legal constraints placed on District assets by long-term debt holders.
- Unrestricted This component of net position consists of the net amount of assets, deferred outflows
 of resources, liabilities and deferred inflows of resources that do not meet the definition of "net
 investment in capital assets" or "restricted for debt service reserve fund." Amounts included as
 unrestricted are available for designation for specific purposes as established by the District's Board of
 Directors. When an expense is incurred for which both restricted and unrestricted net position are
 available for use, it is the District's policy to use restricted resources first then unrestricted resources
 as they are needed.
- Effect on Unrestricted Net Position from Deferred Inflow and Outflow of Resources The unrestricted net position amount of \$34,724,344 and \$30,794,273 at December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, includes the effect of deferring the recognition of losses from long-term debt refundings, the increase in market value of the District's swap and the net pension liability. The deferred outflows from losses on long-term debt refundings at December 31, 2017 and 2016, were \$6,678,090 and \$7,321,214, respectively, and are amortized and recognized as a component of interest expense in a systematic and rational manner over the remaining life of the old debt or the life of the new debt, whichever is shorter.

The deferred inflows of resources related to the fair market value of the swap of \$487,057 and \$14,266 at December 31, 2017 and 2016, would be recognized as an investment gain upon early termination of the swap. The District will only terminate its swap in advance of the contractual termination dates if market conditions permit. The deferred inflow would be recognized as an investment gain if the swap was determined to no longer be an effective hedge. Further, if the debt associated with the swap is refunded, the deferred inflow would be reduced and the deferred loss on refunding decreased by the same amount. The deferred loss on refunding would be amortized as interest expense over the life of the old debt or the life of the new debt, whichever is shorter.

The deferred outflows of resources related to net pension liabilities of \$2,807,227 and \$2,078,534 at December 31, 2017 and 2016, will be amortized and recognized as pension expense over periods of five years or less.

The deferred inflows of resources related to pensions of \$647,273 and \$543,791 at December 31, 2017 and 2016 will be amortized and recognized as pension expense over periods of five years or less.

(1) Reporting Entity and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, continued

F. Revenues

1. Water Consumption Sales, Service Charges and Capital Facility Charges (Water Sales)

The District's principal source of income is from water sales. Water rates are established by the Board of Directors and are supported by cost of service studies. Water rates are not subject to regulation by the California Public Utilities Commission or by any other local, state or federal agency. Water sales to District customers are billed on a monthly basis. Invoices for customers who pay on a set basis are billed in advance, while customers who pay based on a consumption basis are billed in arrears. Consumption amounts are determined on a weekly basis each comprising a monthly period. Estimated unbilled water sales revenue for consumption are accrued and recorded in the period the water was used. Capital facility charges, levied for capital asset projects and debt service payments, are applied to all District customers based on their respective service size connection. Wheeling charges are revenues received from neighboring water purveyors who utilize the District's transmission and distribution system. Other charges for services consist of customer related revenues for various services provided by the District including penalty charges. All other revenues are considered non-operating revenues, which comprise primarily investment and grant revenues.

2. Capital Contributions

Capital contributions represent cash, easements, and capital assets contributed to the District by property owners or real estate developers desiring services that require capital expenditures or capacity commitment, and federal and state grant proceeds for certain capital projects and water conservation awareness programs.

G. Budgetary Policies

The District does not operate under any legal budgeting requirement. However, the District adopts an annual non-appropriated operating expense budget and two capital project budgets for planning, control, and evaluation purposes. The budgets are prepared on a cash basis. Budgetary control is maintained at various levels within the District and evaluation is effectuated by comparisons of actual operating expenses and capital project costs with budgeted operating expenses and capital project costs during the year. As required by certain debt covenants, the annual operating budget is also evaluated, along with anticipated revenues, such that net revenues, as defined by the various debt covenants, are equal to or exceed a minimum of 115 percent of the anticipated debt service for the budget year.

H. Future Accounting Pronouncements

GASB has issued the following statements which may impact the District's financial reporting requirements in the future. The District is currently analyzing the impact of implementing these new statements.

In June 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 75, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions. This Statement replaces the requirements of GASB Statement No. 45 and requires governments responsible for OPEB liabilities related to their own employees to report a net OPEB liability on the face of the financial statements. Governments that participate in an agent multiple employer OPEB plan that is administered through a trust that meets the specified criteria will report a liability equal to their net OPEB liability.

(1) Reporting Entity and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, continued

Governments that do not provide OPEB through a trust that meets specified criteria will report the total OPEB liability related to their employees. This Statement also requires governments to present more extensive note disclosures and required supplementary information about their OPEB liabilities. This Statement is effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2017.

In March 2016, the GASB issued Statement No. 82, Pension Issues, an Amendment of GASB Statements No. 67, No. 68, and No. 73. This Statement clarifies certain accounting and reporting issues related to pension plans, including the presentation of payroll related measures in required supplementary information to be covered payroll or the payroll on which contributions are based, clarifies the use of the term deviation for the selection of assumptions, and clarifies the classification of employer-paid member contributions as employee contributions classified like other employee contributions within salaries and the period in which they should be recognized. The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods with plan measurement dates used for computing the pension liability that are on after June 15, 2017, and should be applied retroactively.

In November 2016, the GASB issued Statement No. 83, Certain Asset Retirement Obligations. This Statement addresses accounting and financial reporting for certain asset retirement obligations (AROs). An ARO is a legally enforceable liability associated with the retirement of a tangible capital asset (example is decommissioning a water treatment plant). A government that has legal obligations to perform future asset retirement activities related to its tangible capital assets should recognize a liability based on the guidance in this Statement. This Statement requires that recognition occur when the liability is both incurred and reasonably estimable. The determination of when the liability is incurred should be based on the occurrence of external laws, regulations, contracts, or court judgments, together with the occurrence of an internal event that obligates a government to perform asset retirement activities. This Statement requires the measurement of an ARO to be based on the best estimate of the current value of outlays expected to be incurred. The best estimate should include probability weighting of all potential outcomes, when such information is available or can be obtained at reasonable cost. The requirements of this Statement are effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2018.

In January 2017, the GASB issued Statement No. 84, Fiduciary Activities. This Statement establishes criteria for identifying fiduciary activities of all state and local governments. The focus of the criteria generally is on (1) whether a government is controlling the assets of the fiduciary activity and (2) the beneficiaries with whom a fiduciary relationship exists. Separate criteria are included to identify fiduciary component units and postemployment benefit arrangements that are fiduciary activities. This Statement describes four fiduciary funds that should be reported, if applicable: (1) pension (and other employee benefit) trust funds, (2) investment trust funds, (3) private-purpose trust funds, and (4) custodial funds. Custodial funds generally should report fiduciary activities that are not held in a trust or equivalent arrangement that meets specific criteria. This Statement also provides for recognition of a liability to the beneficiaries in a fiduciary fund when an event has occurred that compels the government to disburse fiduciary resources. Events that compel a government to disburse fiduciary resources occur when a demand for the resources has been made or when no further action, approval, or condition is required to be taken or met by the beneficiary to release the assets. The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018.

(1) Reporting Entity and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, continued

In March 2017, the GASB issued Statement No. 85, Omnibus 2017. The objective of this Statement is to address practice issues that have been identified during implementation and application of certain GASB Statements. This Statement addresses a variety of topics including issues related to blending component units, goodwill, fair value measurement and application, and postemployment benefits (pensions and other postemployment benefits (OPEB)). Topics that may be applicable include criteria for an enterprise fund to blend a component unit, measuring certain money market investments at amortized cost, timing of pension and OPEB liabilities and expenditures under the current financial resources measurement focus, presenting payroll related measures in RSI for OPEB plans, classifying employer paid member contributions for OPEB plans, accounting and financial reporting for multiple-employer defined benefit OPEB Plans. The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2017.

In May 2017, the GASB issued Statement No. 86, Certain Debt Extinguishment Issues. The primary objective of this Statement is to improve consistency in accounting and financial reporting for in-substance defeasance of debt by providing guidance for transactions in which cash and other monetary assets acquired with only existing resources—resources other than the proceeds of refunding debt—are placed in an irrevocable trust for the sole purpose of extinguishing debt. This Statement also improves accounting and financial reporting for prepaid insurance on debt that is extinguished and notes to financial statements for debt that is defeased in substance. For governments that extinguish debt, whether through a legal extinguishment or through an insubstance defeasance, this Statement requires that any remaining prepaid insurance related to the extinguished debt be included in the net carrying amount of that debt for the purpose of calculating the difference between the reacquisition price and the net carrying amount of the debt. The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2017.

(2) Change in Accounting Principles and Reclassifications

Certain amounts in 2016 have been reclassified to conform to the 2017 financial presentation. The reclassifications had no effect on the total net position or change in net position.

(3) Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments

As a public agency, the District's investment practices are prescribed by various provisions of the California Government Code, as well as by Board policy. The District's investment policy is reviewed at least biennially by the Board of Directors and describes the Finance Director/District Treasurer's investment authority, practices, and limitations. The basic investment policy objectives of the District, in order of importance are safety of principal, liquidity, interest rate risk hedging, and return on investments.

(3) Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments, continued

Cash and investments as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 are classified in the Statements of Net Position as follows:

	2017	2016
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 6,084,811	\$ 2,694,456
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	12,504	263
Investments	35,860,505	34,619,873
Restricted investments	3,527,684	3,531,061
Total	\$ 45,485,504	\$ 40,845,653

Restricted cash and cash equivalents and investments are amounts established by Trust Agreements per certain long-term debt covenants. See Note 4 for further details.

Cash, cash equivalents and investments as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 by investment type are as follows:

	2017	2016	
Cash on hand	\$ 4,750	\$ 4,250	
Deposits with financial institutions	618,897	1,406,257	
Total cash	623,647	1,410,507	
California Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	3,161,906	1,153,836	
Money market mutual funds	208,952	130,113	
Commercial paper	2,090,306	-	
Held by bond trustee:			
Restricted money market mutual funds	12,504	263	
Total cash equivalents	5,473,668	1,284,212	
Negotiable certificates of deposit	7,552,951	7,839,441	
U.S. treasury notes/bonds	10,905,008	7,206,850	
Federal agency securities	1,475,572	5,799,060	
Municipal obligations	925,422	1,146,889	
Corporate notes	8,781,001	8,401,104	
Mortgage backed and asset backed securities	3,597,589	3,708,876	
Supranationals	2,622,962	517,653	
Held by bond trustee:			
Restricted Federal agency securities	3,527,684	3,531,061	
Total investments	39,388,189	38,150,934	
Total	\$ 45,485,504	\$ 40,845,653	

Fair Value Measurement

The District categorizes its fair value measurements within the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The hierarchy is based on the valuation inputs used to measure fair value of the asset. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets, Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs.

(3) Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments, continued

The District has the following recurring fair value measurements as of December 31, 2017:

Investments by fair value level		Investments by fair value level Total		Fair Valu Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)		ue Measurements U Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)		Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Negotiable certificates of deposit	\$	7,552,951	\$	-	\$	7,552,951	\$	-	
U.S. treasury notes/bonds		10,905,008		10,905,008		-		-	
Federal agency securities		1,475,572		-		1,475,572		-	
Municipal obligations		925,422		-		925,422		-	
Corporate notes		8,781,001		-		8,781,001		-	
Mortgage backed and asset backed securities		3,597,589		-		3,597,589		-	
Supranationals		2,622,962		-		2,622,962		-	
Restricted Federal agency securities		3,527,684		-		3,527,684		-	
Total investments by fair value level		39,388,189		10,905,008		28,483,181		-	
Commercial paper		2,090,306		-		2,090,306		-	
Total		\$ 41,478,495	\$	10,905,008	\$	30,573,487	\$	-	

All securities classified in Level 1 are valued using quoted prices in active markets.

All securities classified in Level 2 are valued using pricing models that are based on market data, such as matrix or model pricing, which use standard inputs, that include benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, issue spreads, two sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers and reference data including market research publications.

All securities classified in Level 3 are valued using cost.

(3) Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments, continued

Investments Authorized by the California Government Code and the District's Investment Policy

The table below identifies the investment types that are authorized in accordance with the California Government Code or the District's investment policy, where more restrictive. The table also identifies certain provisions of the California Government Code (or the District's investment policy, where more restrictive) that address interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk.

	Maximum	Maximum Percentage	Maximum Investment
Authorized Investment Type	Maturity ⁽¹⁾	Of Portfolio	in One Issuer
U.S. treasury notes/bonds	5 years	None	None
Federal agency securities	5 years	None	None
Municipal Obligations	5 years	None	None
Repurchase agreements	1 year	50%	None
Bankers acceptances	180 days	40%	5%
Commercial paper (2)	270 days	25%	5%
Negotiable certificates of deposit	5 years	30%	5%
Medium-term notes	5 years	30%	5%
Time deposits	1 year	None	None
Money market mutual funds	N/A	20%	10%
Local Government Investment Pools	N/A	None	None
Mortgage backed and asset backed securities	5 years	20%	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)(3)	N/A	(3)	None
Supranationals	5 years	15%	None

⁽¹⁾ The California Government Code provides authority to the Board to permit maturities beyond 5 years for certain investments. Current Board policy provides for maturities longer than 5 years for funds established by Indentures of Trust.

Investments Authorized by Debt Agreements

The District must maintain required amounts of cash and investments with trustees under the terms of certain long-term debt issuances. These funds are pledged reserves to be used if the District fails to meet its obligations under these debt issues. Investments of debt proceeds held in trust are governed by provisions of the debt agreements and California Government Code and not the District's investment policy.

Investment in LAIF

The District is a voluntary participant in LAIF that is regulated by the California Government Code under oversight by the Local Investment Advisory Board, which consists of five members, with the Treasurer of the State of California serving as chairman. The fair value of the District's investment in this pool is reported in the Statements of Net Position as a cash equivalent based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by LAIF for the entire LAIF portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available

⁽²⁾ Limited to funds invested in California Government Code authorized instruments.

⁽³⁾ California Government Code limits the District's investment for operating and reserve funds in LAIF to \$65 million. There is no ceiling on bond proceeds invested in LAIF. Transactions are limited to 15 per month with a 24 hour notice for withdrawals in excess of \$10 million. Maximum withdrawal amounts are \$65 million and LAIF funds are not eligible for borrowing.

(3) Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments, continued

for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by LAIF, which are recorded on an amortized cost and current value basis. The District's investment in LAIF at December 31, 2017 and 2016 was \$3,161,906 and \$1,153,836, respectively. The total fair value of all public agencies invested in LAIF at December 31, 2017 and 2016 was \$21,195,496,377 and \$21,638,343,047, respectively.

Cash equivalents and investments contain certain risks. The District has implemented various provisions to address the following risks: interest rate risk, credit risk, concentration of credit risk, and custodial credit risk.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates on investments with or without embedded options will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. The District manages this risk by holding investments to maturity or by adjusting the effective duration (a measure of the responsiveness of a bond's price to interest rate changes) of the investment portfolio against a nationally recognized benchmark index that most closely relates to the District's investment objectives. The District selected the "Bank of America Merrill Lynch 0-5 Year U.S. Treasury Index" as its benchmark index. The District's duration targets are reviewed quarterly and, dependent on the evaluation of various markets and non-market factors (such as cash-flow needs of the District), a duration goal is set. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the effective duration of the "Bank of America Merrill Lynch 0-5 Year U.S. Treasury Index" was 2.12 and 2.16, respectively.

Weighted-average effective duration of cash equivalents were as follows:

	December	31, 2017	December 31, 2016		
Cash Equivalent Type	Fair Value	Duration	Fair Value	Duration	
LAIF	\$ 3,161,906	0.51	\$ 1,153,836	0.47	
Money market mutual funds	221,456	-	130,376	-	
Commercial paper	2,090,306	0.25	-	-	
Weighted average duration	\$ 5,473,668	0.39	\$ 1,284,212	0.42	

Weighted-average effective duration on investments were as follows:

	December	31, 2017	December 31, 2016		
Investment Type	Fair Value	Duration	Fair Value	Duration	
Negotiable certificates of deposit	\$ 7,552,951	1.34	\$ 7,839,441	0.80	
U.S. treasury notes/bonds	10,905,008	3.37	7,206,850	3.76	
Federal agency securities	5,003,256	1.31	9,330,121	2.25	
Municipal obligations	925,422	0.57	1,146,889	1.35	
Corporate notes	8,781,001	2.16	8,401,104	2.20	
Mortgage backed and asset backed securities	3,597,589	0.98	3,708,876	1.92	
Supranationals	2,622,962	2.34	517,653	2.32	
Weighted average duration	\$ 39,388,189	2.09	\$ 38,150,934	2.08	

(3) Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments, continued

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. The District manages such risk by purchasing investments with nationally recognized credit ratings that meet or exceed District credit rating requirements at the time of purchase. Credit ratings utilized are those provided by Standard and Poor's or Moody's Ratings Services, where applicable. Additionally, regular monitoring of the credit ratings of purchased securities held in the portfolio is performed to evaluate individual securities for potential sale.

Cash equivalents credit ratings as of December 31, 2017, were as follows:

		Minimum Legal	Ratings as of Year-End		
Cash Equivalent Type		Rating	Not Rated AAA/AA		AA-/A-1
LAIF	\$ 3,161,906	-	\$ 3,161,906	\$ -	\$ -
Money market mutual funds	221,456	-	-	221,456	-
Commercial paper	2,090,306	A-1	-	-	2,090,306
Total cash equivalents	\$ 5,473,668	-	\$ 3,161,906	\$ 221,456	\$ 2,090,306

Investment credit ratings as of December 31, 2017 were as follows:

		Minimu Ratings as of Year-End m Legal					
Investment Type		Rating	Not Rated	AAA	AA+/AA-	A+/A-1/A3	BBB+
Negotiable certificates of deposit	\$ 7,552,951	-	-	-	\$ 2,066,865	\$ 5,486,086	-
U.S. treasury notes/bonds	10,905,008	-	-	-	10,905,008	-	-
Federal agency securities	5,003,256	-	-	-	5,003,256	-	-
Municipal obligations	925,422	-	-	-	696,084	229,338	-
Corporate notes Mortgage backed and asset	8,781,001	A	-	-	2,198,581	5,314,373	1,268,047
backed securities	3,597,589	AA	1,487,515	1,551,933	558,141	-	-
Supranationals	2,622,962	AA	-	2,622,962	-	-	-
Total investments	\$39,388,189	-	\$1,487,515	\$4,174,895	\$21,427,935	\$11,029,797	\$ 1,268,047

Cash equivalents credit ratings as of December 31, 2016, were as follows:

		Minimum Legal	Ratings as of Year-End			
Cash Equivalent Type		Rating	Not Rated	AAA/AA	AA-/A-1	
LAIF	\$ 1,153,836	-	\$ 1,153,836	\$ -	\$ -	
Money market mutual funds	130,376	-	-	130,376	-	
Total cash equivalents	\$ 1,284,212	-	\$ 1,153,836	\$ 130,376	\$ -	

(3) Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments, continued

Investment credit ratings as of December 31, 2016, were as follows:

		Minimum	Ratings as of Tear-Lind					
Investment Type		Legal Rating	Not Rated	AAA	AA+/AA-	A+/A-1/A3	BBB+	
Negotiable certificates of deposit	\$ 7,839,441	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,307,071	\$ 5,532,370	\$ -	
U.S. treasury notes/bonds	7,206,850	-	-	-	7,206,850	-	-	
Federal agency securities	9,330,121	-	-	-	9,330,121	-	-	
Municipal obligations	1,146,889	-	215,615	-	931,274	-	-	
Corporate notes Mortgage backed and asset	8,401,104	A	-	-	3,981,465	3,901,676	517,963	
backed securities	3,708,876	AA	936,098	1,507,822	1,264,956	-	_	
Supranationals	517,653	AA	-	517,653	-	=	<u>-</u>	
Total investments	\$38,150,934	-	\$ 1,151,713	\$ 2,025,475	\$25,021,737	\$ 9,434,046	\$517,963	

Concentration of Credit Risk

At December 31, 2017 and 2016, the District had the following investments (obligations of the U.S. government or obligations explicitly guaranteed by the U.S. government not listed) representing five percent or more of its investments:

Issuer	Investment Type	2017		2016		
Federal National Mortgage						•
Association	Federal agency securities	\$ -	- %	\$ 4,520,597	11.85%	
Federal Home Loan Mortgage	Federal agency securities	\$ 2,348,943	5.67%	\$ 2,350,150	6.16%	
Federal Home Loan Bank	Federal agency securities	\$ 2,121,349	5.12%	\$3,724,331	9.76%	

Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, the District will not be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, \$3,268,712 and \$2,684,138, respectively, of the District's deposits were in excess of federal depository insurance (FDIC) limit of \$250,000. The custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty to a transaction, the District will not be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of another party. The California Government Code and the District's investment policy do not contain legal or policy requirements that would limit exposure to custodial credit risk for deposits or investments, other than the following provision: the California Government Code requires that a financial institution secure deposits made by state or local governmental units by pledging securities in an undivided collateral pool held by a depository regulated under state law (unless so waived by the governmental unit). The market value of the pledged securities in the collateral pool must equal at least 110% of the total amount deposited by the public agencies. California law also allows financial institutions to secure public agency deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150% of the secured public deposits.

(4) Restricted Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments

Restricted cash and cash equivalents are amounts established by debt covenants on certain long-term debt issuances.

Restricted cash and cash equivalents as of December 31 were as follows:

	2017	2016
2009A Certificates of participation reserve fund	\$ 1	\$ 1
2009B Certificates of participation reserve fund	12,137	257
2012A Revenue bond interest payment fund	366	5
Total	\$ 12,504	\$ 263

Restricted investments as of December 31 were as follows:

	2017	2016
2009B Certificates of participation reserve fund	\$ 3,527,684	\$ 3,531,061

(5) Receivables, Net and Restricted Receivable

Receivables as of December 31 consist of the following:

	2017	2016
Water sales and services receivable	\$ 3,391,853	\$ 2,430,000
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(171,309)	(152,321)
Accrued interest receivable	175,719	134,041
Accrued interest receivable on restricted investments	7,982	8,714
Receivable from OPEB trust	139,520	130,550
Grant receivable	135,447	285,929
Total	\$ 3,679,482	\$ 2,836,913

(6) Capital Assets

Changes in capital assets for the year ended December 31, 2017, were as follows:

	Balance 2016	Additions	Deletions	Transfers	Balance 2017
Non-depreciable assets:					
Land	\$ 1,798,864	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,798,864
Permanent easements	3,611,814	491,051	-	-	4,102,865
Construction-in-progress	4,349,964	12,991,701	-	(6,459,696)	10,881,969
Total non-depreciable assets	9,760,642	13,482,752	_	(6,459,696)	16,783,698
Depreciable and amortizable assets:					
Land improvements	1,036,009	23,485	(18,238)	-	1,041,256
Pumping and wells	76,893,953	20,000	-	1,683,337	78,597,290
Hydrants, PRV stations, valves	131,241,316	657,343	(50,342)	740,553	132,588,870
Purchased trans & dist pipelines	160,785,243	592,031	(36,903)	1,802,152	163,142,523
Capacity entitlement	5,282,728	-	-	-	5,282,728
Storage facilities – reservoirs	14,050,359	-	-	-	14,050,359
Water meters	33,703,293	10,025	(1,874,739)	2,162,145	34,000,724
Buildings	2,690,040	-	-	-	2,690,040
Buildings improvements	3,727,153	188,634	(471,493)	31,097	3,475,391
Machinery and equipment	772,650	123,109	(33,311)	-	862,448
Fleet equipment	1,728,724	272,800	(161,312)	-	1,840,212
Office equipment	274,300	-	-	-	274,300
Computer software	2,651,859	243,483	(84,193)	40,412	2,851,561
Computer hardware & equipment	1,068,444	151,879	(213,470)	-	1,006,853
Total depreciable & amort. Assets	435,906,071	2,282,789	(2,944,001)	6,459,696	441,704,555
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	1:				
Land improvements	(842,660)	(25,703)	18,238	-	(850,125)
Pumping and wells	(34,764,360)	(2,835,903)	-	-	(37,600,263)
Hydrants, PRV stations, valves	(66,628,956)	(3,659,832)	49,107	-	(70,239,681)
Purchased trans & dist pipelines	(20,827,870)	(2,009,625)	27,285	-	(22,810,210)
Capacity entitlement	(3,300,891)	(174,194)	-	-	(3,475,085)
Storage facilities – reservoirs	(3,941,534)	(349,415)	-	-	(4,290,949)
Water meters	(19,165,862)	(2,491,756)	1,874,740	-	(19,782,878)
Buildings	(1,377,525)	(66,697)	-	-	(1,444,222)
Buildings improvements	(2,834,767)	(145,115)	458,896	-	(2,520,986)
Machinery and equipment	(697,588)	(41,118)	33,311	-	(705,395)
Fleet equipment	(1,377,474)	(86,845)	161,312	-	(1,303,007)
Office equipment	(178,806)	(17,237)	-	-	(196,043)
Computer software	(2,281,830)	(157,386)	84,193	-	(2,355,023)
Computer hardware & equipment	(739,733)	(121,086)	212,666	-	(648,153)
Total accumulated depr. & amort.	(158,959,856)	(12,181,912)	2,919,748	-	(168,222,020)
Total depr. & amort. assets, net	276,946,215	(9,899,123)	(24,253)	6,459,696	273,482,535
Total capital assets, net	\$286,706,857	\$ 3,583,629	\$ (24,253)	\$ -	\$290,266,233

(6) Capital Assets, continued

Changes in capital assets for the year ended December 31, 2016, were as follows:

	Balance 2015	Additions	Deletions	Transfers	Balance 2016
Non-depreciable assets:					
Land	\$ 1,669,713	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 129,151	\$ 1,798,864
Permanent easements	3,168,985	442,829	-	-	3,611,814
Construction-in-progress	5,340,129	17,367,200	-	(18,357,365)	4,349,964
Total non-depreciable assets	10,178,827	17,810,029	-	(18,228,214)	9,760,642
Depreciable and amortizable assets:					
Land improvements	1,036,009	-	-	-	1,036,009
Pumping and wells	72,324,286	15,358	(244,759)	4,799,068	76,893,953
Hydrants, PRV stations, valves	128,481,782	223,543	-	2,535,991	131,241,316
Purchased trans & dist pipelines	153,187,239	549,997	-	7,048,007	160,785,243
Capacity entitlement	5,282,728	-	-	-	5,282,728
Storage facilities – reservoirs	13,673,880	-	-	376,479	14,050,359
Water meters	30,277,757	-	-	3,425,536	33,703,293
Buildings	2,690,040	-	-	-	2,690,040
Buildings improvements	3,574,373	109,647	-	43,133	3,727,153
Machinery and equipment	772,650	-	-	-	772,650
Fleet equipment	1,493,148	235,576	-	-	1,728,724
Office equipment	443,917	23,635	(193,252)	-	274,300
Computer software	2,573,135	78,724	-	-	2,651,859
Computer hardware & equipment	1,064,214	103,188	(98,958)	-	1,068,444
Total depreciable & amort. assets	416,875,158	1,339,668	(536,969)	18,228,214	435,906,071
Accumulated depreciation and amortization:					
Land improvements	(816,536)	(26,124)	-	-	(842,660)
Pumping and wells	(32,350,824)	(2,657,990)	244,472	-	(34,764,360)
Hydrants, PRV stations, valves	(62,882,707)	(3,746,249)	-	-	(66,628,956)
Purchased trans & dist pipelines	(18,906,590)	(1,921,280)	-	-	(20,827,870)
Capacity entitlement	(3,126,219)	(174,672)	-	-	(3,300,891)
Storage facilities – reservoirs	(3,600,592)	(340,942)	-	-	(3,941,534)
Water meters	(16,834,608)	(2,331,254)	-	-	(19,165,862)
Buildings	(1,310,580)	(66,945)	-	-	(1,377,525)
Buildings improvements	(2,704,141)	(130,626)	-	-	(2,834,767)
Machinery and equipment	(654,870)	(42,718)	-	-	(697,588)
Fleet equipment	(1,320,252)	(57,222)	-	-	(1,377,474)
Office equipment	(336,571)	(23,891)	181,656	-	(178,806)
Computer software	(2,122,257)	(159,573)	-	-	(2,281,830)
Computer hardware & equipment	(709,792)	(128,095)	98,154	-	(739,733)
Total accumulated depr. & amort.	(147,676,557)	(11,807,581)	524,282	-	(158,959,856)
Total depr. & amort. assets, net	269,198,601	(10,467,913)	(12,687)	18,228,214	276,946,215
Total capital assets, net	\$ 279,377,428	\$ 7,342,116	\$ (12,687)	\$ -	\$286,706,857

(6) Capital Assets, continued

Major capital asset additions during 2017 and 2016 include construction and major upgrades to the transmission and distribution system, fire hydrants, valves, PRV stations, water meters, and wells. A significant portion of these additions were constructed by the District and transferred out of construction-in-progress upon completion of these various projects.

Construction-In-Progress

The District has been involved in various construction projects throughout the year. The balances of the various construction projects that comprise the construction-in-progress balances at December 31 are as follows:

	2017	2016
Butano/Cottage well construction	\$ 161,852	\$ -
Well rehabilitation/pump improvements	110,227	960,260
Meter retrofit project	442,903	-
SCADA RTU panels improvements	69,833	24,750
Palm Avenue Well	1,045,065	760,912
Parkland Estate main replacement	6,287,901	1,023,218
Edison Meadows main replacement	918,236	116,455
Water System Master Plan	-	268,723
Various other distribution main replacements	1,381,134	862,753
Various other minor projects	464,818	332,893
Construction-in-progress	\$ 10,881,969	\$ 4,349,964

There was no impairment of District assets as defined by GASB Statement No. 42, "Accounting and Financial Reporting for Impairment of Capital Assets and for Insurance Recoveries" as of December 31, 2017 and 2016.

(7) Compensated Absences

Compensated absences are comprised of unpaid vacation and sick leave, which is accrued as earned, and accumulated unpaid overtime. The District's liability for compensated absences is determined annually. The current portion of the compensated absences is estimated based on amounts used in the current year.

The changes to compensated absences balances at December 31 are as follows:

				Due Within O
Balance 2016	Earned	Taken	Balance 2017	Year
\$ 1,087,883	\$ 660,536	\$ (728,639)	\$ 1,019,780	\$ 700,000

Balance 2015	Earned	Taken	Balance 2016	Due Within One Year
\$ 1,003,878	\$ 783,060	\$ (699,055)	\$ 1,087,883	\$ 700,000

(8) Long-Term Debt

Description of the District's Long-Term Debt

The District's long-term debt consists of Refunding Revenue Certificates of Participation (COP obligations) and Refunding Revenue Bonds (bonds) issued for the purpose of refunding debt originally issued to fund portions of the District's capital improvement program (CIP). The COP obligations and bonds are secured by a pledge of the District's net revenues. Such COP obligations and bonds contain certain restrictive covenants, with which the District has complied. All COP obligations and bonds contain call provisions. COP obligations and bonds maturing after the earliest applicable call date are subject to optional, mandatory or extraordinary redemption prior to maturity, without premium.

Long-term debt activities for the year ended December 31, 2017, are as follows:

	Balance			Balance	Current
	2016	Additions	Retirements	2017	Portion
2009A Certificates of participation	\$ 42,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 42,000,000	\$ -
2009B Certificates of participation	24,095,000	-	(2,030,000)	22,065,000	2,135,000
2012A Revenue bond	19,520,000	-	(2,030,000)	17,490,000	2,105,000
Total principal	85,615,000	-	(4,060,000)	81,555,000	\$4,240,000
Unamortized bond premium	3,587,811	-	(323,450)	3,264,361	
Imputed borrowing - off-market swap	5,299,116	-	(330,093)	4,969,023	_
Total long-term debt	\$ 94,501,927	\$ -	\$ (4,713,543)	\$ 89,788,384	-

Long-term debt activities for the year ended December 31, 2016, are as follows:

	Balance 2015	Additions	Retirements	Balance 2016	Current Portion
2009A Certificates of participation	\$ 42,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 42,000,000	\$ -
2009B Certificates of participation	26,045,000	-	(1,950,000)	24,095,000	2,030,000
2012A Revenue bond	21,515,000	-	(1,995,000)	19,520,000	2,030,000
Total principal	89,560,000	-	(3,945,000)	85,615,000	\$4,060,000
Unamortized bond premium	3,911,260	-	(323,449)	3,587,811	
Imputed borrowing - off-market swap	5,622,452	-	(323,336)	5,299,116	
Total long-term debt	\$99,093,712	\$ -	\$(4,591,785)	\$ 94,501,927	

(8) Long-Term Debt, continued

The future debt service schedule of all long-term debt as of December 31, 2017, is as follows:

Principal Interest (1) Total		Principal	Year
\$ 4,240,000 \$ 3,519,108 \$ 7,759,1		4,240,000	\$ 2018
4,390,000 3,331,529 7,721,5		4,390,000	2019
4,595,000 3,153,760 7,748,7		4,595,000	2020
4,815,000 2,938,080 7,753,0		4,815,000	2021
5,015,000 2,705,245 7,720,2		5,015,000	2022
19,580,000 10,535,379 30,115,3		19,580,000	2023-2027
27,725,000 5,122,137 32,847,1		27,725,000	2028-2032
11,195,000 589,810 11,784,8		11,195,000	2033-2034
81,555,000 \$ 31,895,048 \$113,450,0		81,555,000	Total
(4,240,000)		(4,240,000)	Less current portion
3,264,361		3,264,361	Unamortized bond premium
4,969,023		4,969,023	Imputed borrowing on off-market swap
\$ 85,548,384	_	85,548,384	\$ Total non-current long-term debt
4,815,000 2,938,080 7,753 5,015,000 2,705,245 7,720 19,580,000 10,535,379 30,115 27,725,000 5,122,137 32,847 11,195,000 589,810 11,784 81,555,000 \$ 31,895,048 \$113,450 (4,240,000) 3,264,361 4,969,023 4,969,023		4,815,000 5,015,000 19,580,000 27,725,000 11,195,000 81,555,000 (4,240,000) 3,264,361 4,969,023	 2021 2022 2023-2027 2028-2032 2033-2034 Total Less current portion Unamortized bond premium Imputed borrowing on off-market swap

⁽¹⁾ Includes – 1) fixed-rate interest at scheduled payments, 2) variable-rate interest at an estimated rate of 2.175 percent as of December 31, 2017 (includes market rate plus facility and remarketing fees), and 3) swap payments based on a 3.283 percent fixed-rate per the amended and restated Swap Confirmation dated April 11, 2012, less the variable receive rate of 1.078 percent as of December 31, 2017.

2009 Series A COP

In June 2009, the District issued a \$42,000,000 COP obligation, Series 2009A at a variable interest rate, to current refund the \$41,275,000 COP obligation, Series 2004. The variable interest rate resets weekly. This COP obligation was issued with an irrevocable direct-pay letter-of-credit (LOC) which currently expires on June 30, 2023⁽²⁾. This term debt's maturity is November 1, 2034 and is subject to optional, mandatory and extraordinary sinking fund prepayment and optional and mandatory tender redemption provisions, without premium. As discussed more fully under the caption "Interest Rate Swap" below, subsequent to its issuance, a swap was issued to hedge this COP obligation which itself was amended and restructured in 2012 to proportionately match the terms of this COP obligation. The swap has been determined to serve as an effective cash flow hedge in accordance with the provisions of GASB No. 53 as amended by GASB 59 and 64, even though the swap terms do not completely match those of this COP obligation.

⁽²⁾ The credit rating of the District's LOC provider (Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation) as of December 31, 2017 is A1/A/A by Moody's Investor Services, Standard and Poor's Ratings Services, and Fitch IBCA, Inc., respectively.

(8) Long-Term Debt, continued

As of December 31, 2017, the future debt service schedule of the 2009 Series A COP obligation and associated swap payments are as follows:

	2009 Series A COP			In	terest Rate (1)			
Year	Principal		Principal Interest		Swap, Net		Total	
2018	\$	-	\$	913,500	\$	734,208	\$	1,647,708
2019		-		913,500		734,208		1,647,708
2020		-		913,500		734,208		1,647,708
2021		-		913,500		734,208		1,647,708
2022		-		913,500		734,208		1,647,708
2023-2027		6,430,000		4,277,754		3,438,230		14,145,984
2028-2032		24,375,000		2,762,087		2,220,466		29,357,553
2033-2034		11,195,000		326,884		262,926		11,784,810
Total		42,000,000	\$	11,934,225	\$	9,592,664	\$	63,526,889
Less current portion		-						
Imputed borrowing- off-market swap		4,969,023						
Total non-current COP obligation	\$	46,969,023	=					

⁽¹⁾ Based on a 3.283 percent fixed-rate per the amended and restated Swap Confirmation dated April 11, 2012, less the variable receive rate of 1.078 percent as of December 31, 2017.

2009 Series B COP

In June 2009, the District issued a \$36,155,000 COP obligation, Series 2009B at a true interest cost of 4.54 percent, to current refund the \$36,725,000 Series 2008A-1 COP obligation. This serial debt's maturity extends to November 1, 2028 and is subject to optional and extraordinary redemption provisions, without premium. The Debt Service Reserve Fund obligation on this COP obligation is \$3,517,500. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the fair market value of permitted investments in the reserve fund, including accrued interest, was \$3,539,403 and \$3,540,032, respectively, while the amortized cost was \$3,542,082 and \$3,536,762, respectively.

⁽²⁾ Estimated at an assumed rate of 2.175 percent as of December 31, 2017 (includes market rate plus facility and remarketing fees).

(8) Long-Term Debt, continued

As of December 31, 2017, the future debt service schedule of the 2009 Series B COP obligation is as follows:

Year	Principal	Interest			Total		
2018	\$ 2,135,000	\$	1,123,208	\$	3,258,208		
2019	2,230,000		1,016,596		3,246,596		
2020	2,360,000		908,658		3,268,658		
2021	2,495,000		789,533		3,284,533		
2022	2,610,000		662,738		3,272,738		
2023-2027	6,885,000		1,971,579		8,856,579		
2028	3,350,000		139,584		3,489,584		
Total	22,065,000	\$	6,611,896	\$	28,676,896		
Less current portion	(2,135,000)						
Unamortized bond premium	907,590						
Total non-current COP obligation	\$ 20,837,590						

2012 Series A Bond

On April 19, 2012, the District issued \$29,200,000 of Refunding Revenue Bonds Series 2012A (bonds) at a true interest cost of 3.66 percent, to current refund the Series 2008A-2 COP obligation with an outstanding balance of \$33,300,000. This serial bond's maturity extends to November 1, 2027 and is subject to optional and extraordinary redemption provisions, without premium. Proceeds of the bonds, less \$417,002 to pay the costs of issuing the bonds, were placed in escrow to immediately pay the outstanding principal plus accrued interest on the Series 2008A-2 COP obligation, without premium.

(8) Long-Term Debt, continued

As of December 31, 2017, the future debt service schedule of the 2012 Series A Revenue Bond is as follows:

Year	Year		Interest		Total
2018	\$	2,105,000	\$	748,192	\$ 2,853,192
2019		2,160,000		667,225	2,827,225
2020		2,235,000		597,394	2,832,394
2021		2,320,000		500,838	2,820,838
2022		2,405,000		394,798	2,799,798
2023-2027		6,265,000		847,816	7,112,816
Total		17,490,000	\$	3,756,263	\$ 21,246,263
Less current portion		(2,105,000)			
Unamortized bond premium		2,356,771			
Total non-current bond obligation	\$	17,741,771			

Arbitrage Rebate Requirement

The federal Tax Reform Act of 1986 imposes an arbitrage rebate requirement that affects all tax-exempt debt issued by the District. The term arbitrage refers to the required payment to the U.S. Treasury of excess interest earnings received on applicable tax-exempt debt obligation proceeds which, for the District, is solely made up of debt service reserve funds (restricted cash, cash equivalents, and investments) that are invested in a higher yield than the yield of the tax-exempt debt obligation issue. The District's ultimate rebate of arbitrage earnings on these issues is contingent on various factors, including future yields on invested proceeds. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the District has no arbitrage rebate liability.

Interest Rate Swap

Objective and Terms

In order to take advantage of low interest rates in the marketplace, the District entered into a pay-fixed, receive-variable interest rate swap agreement (swap) with Citibank, N.A. upon issuance of the Series 2005A COP obligation at a cost that was less than what otherwise the District would have paid to issue fixed-rate debt. In April 2012, the swap agreement was subsequently amended and restructured with Citibank, N.A. to match the terms of the Series 2009A COP obligation, albeit at a reduced notional amount, after which the swap was novated to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. The swap's notional amount amortizes in proportionately like amounts to the Series 2009A COP. The swap agreement requires that the District pay Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. a series of future fixed-rate payments monthly based on an annual rate of 3.283%; Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., in turn, is required to pay the District a series of future variable-rate payments equal to 60% of the 1-Month London Inter-bank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 0.18% monthly. At the time of restructuring the swap in 2012, the negative fair value of the swap was determined to be \$6,745,000, the unamortized amount of which is reported as an "imputed borrowing," a component of long-term debt in the Statements of Net Position.

(8) Long-Term Debt, continued

Fair Value

Based on existing market conditions as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the swap had a negative fair value of \$6,257,943 and \$6,730,734 to the District, respectively. The fair value of the District's swap was a negative number due to the overall decline in interest rates for a comparable swap as of those dates. From the District's perspective, this is because the expected future variable-rate payments due from Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as of those dates, are lower than when the swap was entered into. Pursuant to the requirements of GASB 53, as amended by GASB 59 and 64, as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the "on-market" portion of the swap's negative fair value is reported as a component of non-current liabilities on the Statements of Net Position and the offsetting amount is recorded as a deferred outflow or inflow of resources. The "on-market" portion of the swap is considered an effective hedging instrument as of December 31, 2017 and 2016.

	Notional Amount	Effective Date	Fixed Rate Paid	Variable Rate Received	Fair Value	Swap Term Date	Counterparty Credit Rating (1)
Dec. 31, 2017	\$33,300,000	April 11, 2012	3.283%	60% LIBOR +.18%	\$ (6,257,943)	Nov. 1, 2034	Aa2/AA-/AA-
Dec. 31, 2016	\$33,300,000	April 11, 2012	3.283%	60% LIBOR +.18%	\$ (6,730,734)	Nov. 1, 2034	Aa2/AA-/AA
Dec. 31, 2015	\$33,300,000	April 11, 2012	3.283%	60% LIBOR +.18%	\$ (7,510,224)	Nov. 1, 2034	Aa2/AA-/AA

⁽¹⁾ Moody's Investor Services, Standard and Poor's Ratings Services, and Fitch IBCA, Inc., respectively.

(8) Long-Term Debt, continued

Swap Payments and Notional Amortization for the Period Ended December 31, 2017:

Year	Notional Swap Payments, Amortization Net (1)		• •	Total	
2018	\$	-	\$	743,208	\$ 743,208
2019		-		743,208	743,208
2020		-		743,208	743,208
2021		-		743,209	743,209
2022		-		743,209	743,209
2023-2027		5,095,000		3,438,230	8,533,230
2028-2032		19,325,000		2,220,466	21,545,466
2033-2034		8,880,000		262,926	9,142,926
Tot	al\$	33,300,000	\$	9,592,664	\$ 42,892,664

⁽¹⁾ Based on a 3.283 percent fixed-rate per the amended and restated Swap Confirmation dated April 11, 2012, less the variable receive rate of 1.078 percent as of December 31, 2017.

The swap is intended to hedge interest rate risk on a portion of the District's outstanding Series 2009A COP, which bears interest at a variable rate. The swap, however, contains certain risks. The District has implemented various provisions to address such risks that include, amongst other risks, credit risk, basis risk, termination risk, credit and extension risk, collateral posting and tax risk.

Credit Risk

Counterparty Credit Risk - The counterparty, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. could be in default on swap payments owed to the District, or file for bankruptcy. This could result in a termination event, in which case the District could immediately owe (or be owed) the fair market value of the swap. Additionally, if the counterparty's credit rating falls below certain thresholds or is withdrawn, a termination event may result, in which case the District could immediately owe (or be owed) the fair market value of the swap.

District Credit Risk - If the District's credit rating on the Series 2009A COP falls below certain thresholds or is withdrawn, a termination event may result, in which case the District could immediately owe (or be owed) the fair market value of the swap.

Variable Interest Rate Risk (or Basis Risk)

Basis risk is the risk that the interest rates paid by the District on its variable-rate Series 2009A COP obligation may differ from the variable interest rate received from Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. This could result from a general market disparity between weekly rates paid by the District compared to 1-month LIBOR received from Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. It could also result from higher relative rates on the District's Series 2009A COP compared to similar securities. This could be related to factors such as negative investor perception of the credit quality of the Series 2009A COP.

(8) Long-Term Debt, continued

Termination Event Risk

A number of events are specified in the swap agreement that could result in the District immediately owing (or owed) the swap's fair market value. These include, but are not limited to, downgrades to either the District's or Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.'s credit rating, events of default or bankruptcy of either party, and unscheduled redemptions of principal or modification to the amortization schedule of the District's Series 2009A COP.

Liquidity/Credit Enhancement on Certificates - Credit and Extension Risk

The District's Series 2009A COP is supported by Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation through a direct-pay letter of credit facility. Such a facility is required for the Series 2009A COP to remain marketable and outstanding as variable rate securities. If Series 2009A COP investors perceive this facility negatively, the Series 2009A COP may bear higher rates than comparable securities (which may result in basis risk). In addition, the Certificate credit and liquidity facility must be extended periodically or replaced by a comparable provider. The current facility expires on June 30, 2023. To the extent the facility cannot be replaced or extended, various potential impacts of this, including accelerations of Series 2009A COP principal repayment, could result in a swap termination event.

Collateral Posting Risk

Based on certain thresholds of the fair market value of the swap and the ratings of the District or Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., either party may be required to post collateral (i.e. cash or certain allowable securities). For example, based on the District's current Moody's Investor Services rating of Aa2, the negative fair value of the swap would need to exceed \$20 million before the District would need to post \$1 million in cash or securities as collateral.

Tax Risk

The swap exposes the District to tax risk if a permanent mismatch occurs between the variable-rate received from the swap and the variable-rate paid on the Series 2009A COP due to tax law changes such that the federal or state tax exemption on municipal debt is eliminated or its value reduced.

(9) Net Investment in Capital Assets

The District's net investment in capital assets, net of related debt, at December 31, consists of the following:

	2017	2016
Capital assets not being depreciated	\$ 16,783,698	\$ 9,760,642
Capital assets being depreciated and amortized, net	273,482,535	276,946,215
Deferred outflows on long-term debt refundings	6,678,090	7,321,214
Long term debt	(89,788,384)	(94,501,927)
Net investment in capital assets, considered non-expendable	\$207,155,939	\$199,526,144

(10) Restricted Net Position

Restricted net position balance as of December 31, consists of the following:

	 2017	2016
2009A Certificates of participation reserve fund	\$ 1	\$ 1
2009B Certificates of participation reserve fund (cash and interest receivable)	3,547,803	3,540,032
2012A Revenue bond interest payment fund	366	5
Total restricted net position	\$ 3,548,170	\$ 3,540,038

This component of net position consists of external constraints placed by creditors.

(11) Unrestricted Net Position

Designations of unrestricted net position may be imposed by the Board of Directors to reflect future spending plans or concerns about the availability of future resources. Designations may be modified, amended or removed by Board action at any time. Currently, the District's Reserve Policy calls for three fund classifications that collectively comprise the District's unrestricted net position: Committed funds, Assigned funds and remaining funds not otherwise restricted, committed or assigned. Committed funds are those financial assets set aside by the Board for specific purposes as determined by Board resolution or ordinance. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016 the District has one committed fund with a zero balance for both years. The committed fund is for developers required to install extension facilities (up-sized line or the extension of facilities beyond the frontage of a parcel) as a requirement for obtaining water service. This fund is utilized to reimburse the developer, in whole or in part, for the extension facility based on the proportion of funds collected from all developers for that calendar year. Assigned funds are those financial assets determined necessary to be retained for specific risk-mitigation purposes as determined by the Board annually or as needs arise. The Board has several classes of such assigned funds but is not bound legally or contractually on the retention of such funds and, as such, amounts in assigned funds are available to meet the general obligations of the District.

(12) Deferred Compensation Plan

For the benefit of its employees, the District participates in a 457 Deferred Compensation Program (Program). The purpose of this Program is to provide employees, who elect to participate, the opportunity to defer receipt of a portion of their compensation until termination, retirement, death or unforeseeable emergency. Until the funds are paid or otherwise made available to the employee, the employee is not obligated to report the deferred compensation for income tax purposes. Federal law requires deferred compensation assets to be held in trust for the exclusive benefit of the participants or their beneficiaries. Therefore, these assets are not the legal property of the District, and are not subject to claims of the District's general creditors. The market value of all plan assets held in trust by the District for its deferred compensation program at December 31, 2017 and 2016, amounted to \$4,549,756 and \$3,830,600, respectively.

(13) Defined Benefit Pension Plan

A. General Information about the Pension Plan:

Plan Descriptions:

All qualified permanent and probationary employees are eligible to participate in the District's Miscellaneous Employee Cost-Sharing Multiple Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plan administered by the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS). The Board has established a single "Cost-Sharing Miscellaneous" pension plan with CalPERS that is comprised of the following Rate Plans (Plans):

- Miscellaneous Plan 3.0% at 60 (Classic Members)
- Miscellaneous Plan 2.0% at 55 (Classic Members)
- Miscellaneous Plan 2.0% at 62 (PEPRA)

Benefit provisions under the Plans are established by State statute and Board resolution. CalPERS issues publicly available reports that include a full description of the pension plans regarding benefit provisions, assumptions and membership information that can be found on the CalPERS website at https://www.calpers.ca.gov/.

Benefits Provided:

CalPERS provides service retirement and disability benefits, annual cost of living adjustments and death benefits to plan members, who must be public employees and beneficiaries. Benefits are based on years of credited service, equal to one year of full time employment. Members with five years of total service are eligible to retire at age 50 (52 for PEPRA members) with statutorily reduced benefits. All members are eligible for non-duty disability benefits after 10 years of service. The death benefit is one of the following: the 1957 Survivor Benefit or the Optional Settlement 2W Death Benefit. The cost of living adjustments for each plan are applied as specified by the Public Employees' Retirement Law.

The Plans' provisions and benefits in effect at December 31, 2017 and 2016, are summarized as follows:

	Miscellaneous					
Hire date	Prior to 09/25/2006	After 9/25/2006 and Prior to 01/01/2013	On or after 01/01/2013			
Benefit formula	3.0% at 60	2.0% at 55	2.0% at 62			
Benefit vesting schedule	5 years service	5 years service	5 years service			
Benefit payments	monthly for life	monthly for life	monthly for life			
Retirement age	50 - 60	50 - 63	52 - 67			
Monthly benefits as a % of eligible compensation	2.0% to 3.0%	1.4% to 2.4%	1.0% to 2.5%			
Required employee contribution rates	8.00%*	7.00%*	6.50%			
Required employer contribution rates – 2017	13.55%	9.10%	6.91%			
Required employer contribution rates – 2016	13.50%	9.06% Closed to new members that are not already CalPERS eligible	6.93%			
Open or Closed to New Entrants	Closed	participants	Open			

(* Paid by District on behalf of employees)

(13) Defined Benefit Pension Plan, continued

In addition to the contribution rates above, the District was also required to make a payment of \$367,091 and \$304,049 toward its unfunded actuarial liability of all Plans during the year ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Contributions:

CalPERS Section 20814(c) of the California Public Employees' Retirement Law requires that the employer contribution rates for all public employers be determined on an annual basis by an actuary and shall be effective on July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. Funding contributions for all Plans are determined annually on an actuarial basis as of June 30 by CalPERS. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. The District is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate and the contribution rate of employees. The District's total employer contributions were \$857,705 (2017 contribution was \$879,305 less \$21,600 for 2016 over-stated contribution) and \$821,520 for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. Dependent on the Rate Plan, the employee contribution rate was 8.0 percent or 7.0 percent of annual pay for Classic members and 6.50 percent for PEPRA members for the measurement periods ended June 30, 2017 and 2016. The District contributes the full 8.0 percent or 7.0 percent for Classic members while PEPRA members contribute the full 6.50 percent. At December 31, 2017 and 2016, District's pickup of the employee's 8.0 percent and 7.0 percent share was \$283,907 and \$316,898, respectively.

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expenses and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions:

As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the District reported a net pension liability for its proportionate share of the net pension liability of the Plans of \$8,997,648 and \$7,654,038, respectively.

The District's net pension liability is measured as the proportionate share of the Pool's net pension liability. The net pension liability is measured as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2016 and 2015 rolled forward to June 30, 2017 and 2016 using standard update procedures. For June 30, 2017 and 2016, the District's proportion of the Net Pension Liability was based on its proportion of the Total Pension Liability less its proportion of the Fiduciary Net Position.

The District's proportionate share of the net pension liability for the Plan as of June 30, 2017 and 2016 was as follows:

Proportion - June 30, 2016	0.220331 %
Proportion - June 30, 2017	0.228248 %
Change - Increase (Decrease)	0.007917 %

(13) Defined Benefit Pension Plan, continued

For the year ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, the District recognized pension expense of \$1,576,104 and \$966,988, respectively. At December 31, 2017 and 2016, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to all Plans combined from the following sources:

	Deferred Outflows of Resources			Deferred Inflows of Resources			
	2017	2016		2017	2016		
Pension contributions subsequent to measurement date	\$ 620,794	\$ 579,159	\$	-	\$ -		
Changes in assumptions	1,578,075	-		120,330	252,098		
Net differences between projected and actual earnings on plan investments	356,895	1,312,085		-	-		
Differences between expected and actual experience	12,719	26,646		182,217	6,105		
Differences between the employer's contribution and the employer's proportionate share of contributions	-	-		344,726	268,417		
Change in employer's proportion	238,744	160,644		-	17,171		
Total	\$2,807,227	\$2,078,534	\$	647,273	\$ 543,791		

The \$620,794 and \$579,159 reported as deferred outflows of resources as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 related to contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows (inflows) of resources related to pensions will be recognized as pension expense as follows:

	Year Ended	
_	December 31	
	2018	\$ 319,946
	2019	\$ 896,254
	2020	\$ 534,855
	2021	\$ (211.895)

(13) Defined Benefit Pension Plan, continued

B. Actuarial Methods and Assumptions Used to Determine Total Pension Liability:

The total pension liabilities in the June 30, 2017 and 2016 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

2017 Miscellaneous	2016 Miscellaneous
June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015
June 30, 2017	June 30, 2016
Entry-Age Normal	Entry-Age Normal
7.15%	7.65%
2.75%	2.75%
3.00%	3.00%
3.20% - 12.20% (1)	3.30% - 14.20% (1)
7.00% ⁽²⁾	7.50% (2)
(4)	(3)
	Miscellaneous June 30, 2016 June 30, 2017 Entry-Age Normal 7.15% 2.75% 3.00% 3.20% - 12.20% (1) 7.00% (2)

⁽¹⁾ Dependent on age, service and type of employment

Discount Rate:

The discount rates used to measure the total pension liability were 7.15 percent and 7.65 percent as of June 30, 2017 and 2016 for each Plan. The deferred outflow of resources for changes in assumptions on the previous page represents the unamortized portion of this assumption change. To determine whether the municipal bond rate should be used in the calculation of a discount rate for each plan, CalPERS stress tested plans that would most likely result in a discount rate that would be different from the actuarially assumed discount rate. Based on the testing, none of the tested plans run out of assets. Therefore, the current discount rate is adequate and the use of the municipal bond rate calculation is not necessary. The long term expected discount rate will be applied to all plans in the Public Employees Retirement Fund (PERF). The stress test results are presented in a detailed report that can be obtained from the CalPERS website.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class.

⁽²⁾ Net of pension plan investment expenses, including inflation

⁽³⁾ Probabilities of retirement and mortality are based on CalPERS' 2010 Experience Study for the period from 1997 to 2007.

Probabilities of retirement and mortality are based on CalPERS' 2014 Experience Study for the period from 1997 to 2011.

(13) Defined Benefit Pension Plan, continued

For both 2017 and 2016, in determining the long-term expected rate of return, CalPERS took into account both short-term and long-term market return expectations as well as the expected pension fund cash flows. Using historical returns of all the funds' asset classes, expected compound returns were calculated over the short-term (first 10 years) and the long-term (11-60 years) using a building-block approach. Using the expected nominal returns for both short-term and long-term, the present value of benefits was calculated for each fund. The expected rate of return was set by calculating the single equivalent expected return that arrived at the same present value of benefits for cash flows as the one calculated using both short-term and long-term returns. The expected rate of return was then set equivalent to the single equivalent rate calculated above and rounded down to the nearest one quarter of one percent.

The tables below reflect the long-term expected real rates of return by asset class for the Plan as of the measurement date of June 30, 2017 and 2016. The rates of return were calculated using the capital market assumptions applied to determine the discount rate. These rates of return are net of administrative expenses.

Asset Class	2017 Target Allocation	Real Return Years 1 – 10 (a) ¹	Real Return Years 11+ (b) ²
Global Equity	47.0%	4.90%	5.38%
Global Fixed Income	19.0%	0.80%	2.27%
Inflation Sensitive	6.0%	0.60%	1.39%
Private Equity	12.0%	6.60%	6.63%
Real Estate	11.0%	2.80%	5.21%
Infrastructure and Forestland	3.0%	3.90%	5.36%
Liquidity	2.0%	(0.40%)	(0.90%)
Total	100.0%		

Asset Class	2016 Target Allocation	Real Return Years 1 – 10 (a) ¹	Real Return Years 11+ (b) ²
Global Equity	51.0%	5.25%	5.71%
Global Fixed Income	20.0%	0.99%	2.43%
Inflation Sensitive	6.0%	0.45%	3.36%
Private Equity	10.0%	6.83%	6.95%
Real Estate	10.0%	4.50%	5.13%
Infrastructure and Forestland	2.0%	4.50%	5.09%
Liquidity	1.0%	(0.55)%	(1.05)%
Total	100.0%		

¹ An expected inflation rate of 2.50% used for this period.

² An expected inflation rate of 3.00% used for this period.

(13) Defined Benefit Pension Plan, continued

C. Changes in the Net Pension Liability:

Sensitivity of the Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate:

The following presents the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability for the Plans, calculated using the discount rate for the Plans, as well as what the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage point lower or 1-percentage point higher than the current rate:

	2017 Sensitivity to 1- Percent Change		2016 Sensitivity to 1- Percent Change	
1% Decrease		6.15%		6.65%
Net Pension Liability	\$	14,199,433	\$	12,202,722
Current Discount Rate		7.15%		7.65%
Net Pension Liability	\$	8,997,648	\$	7,654,038
1% Increase		8.15%		8.65%
Net Pension Liability	\$	4,689,435	\$	3,894,776

Plan Fiduciary Net Position:

Detailed information about each pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued CalPERS financial reports.

Payable to the Pension Plan:

At December 31, 2017 and 2016, the District had no outstanding payable to the pension plans.

(14) Postemployment Benefits

Plan Description

In addition to pension benefits, the District provides certain healthcare benefits through CalPERS, and dental and vision benefits through private insurance carriers (postemployment benefits) for retired employees, certain former Northridge Water District directors, and their survivor dependents, subject to certain conditions.

The District made the decision to establish an irrevocable trust to prefund postemployment benefits by participating in the "California Employers' Retiree Benefit Trust (CERBT)", which is a defined benefit agent-multiple employer plan as defined in GASB Statement No. 43, "Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans" (GASB 43) and meets the requirements to qualify as a prefunding Trust as defined by Section 115 of the Internal Revenue Code. CERBT is run by CalPERS for investment purposes. Copies of the CERBT annual financial report may be obtained by contacting CalPERS at (888) 225-7377 or at their Executive Offices at 400 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

(14) Postemployment Benefits, continued

Eligibility

Substantially all of the District's full-time employees may become eligible for postemployment health benefits after age fifty and after working for the District for five years if hired before January 1, 2003. If hired after January 1, 2003, eligibility for such benefits is based on a minimum of ten years of qualifying service working with an employer that is a CalPERS healthcare provider. Retirement from the District is also a condition of eligibility for postemployment health benefits (the District must be the last employer prior to retirement). In addition, eligible retirees are required to pay a portion of the cost of certain medical insurance plans offered by CalPERS above a minimum amount established annually by the District. Eligible retirees hired after January 1, 2003, not fully-vested in postemployment health benefits, are required to pay a portion of health insurance costs up to the extent they are not fully vested. Certain former Northridge Water District directors with twelve years of service are also eligible for postemployment benefits. At December 31, 2017 and 2016, 37 and 32 retired employees, directors, and their survivor dependents met those eligibility requirements, respectively.

Funding Policy

Participants are not required to make contributions to the plan in order to receive benefits. Contribution requirements of employees and the District are established and may be amended by the Board of Directors. During 2008, the District adopted a policy to fully fund the Annual Required Contribution (ARC) for postemployment benefits into CERBT. The ARC rate for 2017 and 2016 are 10.67 percent and 10.67 percent of annual covered payroll, respectively.

In accordance with the provisions of GASB 45, as the District is fully funding its ARC no liability is shown on the Statements of Net Position. The ARC was fully funded in both 2017 and 2016 in the amount of \$472,200 and \$470,000, respectively. The ARC amounts funded include the normal cost of \$165,300 in 2017 and \$161,000 in 2016, and \$306,900 and \$309,000 to amortize the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) in 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Funded Status and Funding Progress of the Plan

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Plan Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)	Funded Ratio	Covered Payroll	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll ((b-a)/c)
Date	(a)	(b)	(b-a)	(a/b)	(c)	((b-a)/c)
7/1/2017	\$ 4,726,714	\$ 7,295,798	\$ 2,569,084	64.8%	\$4,331,683	59.3%

The District's annual other postemployment benefit costs (OPEB) for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015, were equal to its ARC amounts for each year of \$472,200, \$470,000 and \$591,000, respectively. As the District fully funds its ARC, there is no reportable net OPEB obligation for each of the years so referenced.

(14) Postemployment Benefits, continued

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

Actuarial valuations involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of events far into the future. Actuarially determined amounts are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared to past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. Calculations are based on the types of benefits provided under the terms of the substantive plan at the time of each valuation and the pattern of sharing of costs between the employer and plan members to that point. Consistent with the long-term perspective of actuarial calculations, actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits. The Schedule of Funding Progress, presented in the Required Supplementary Information section of this report, presents multiyear trend information that shows whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

Valuation date

July 1, 2017

Actuarial cost method

Amortization method

Level percentage of

Amortization method Level percentage of payroll method Remaining amortization period – closed 8 years as of the valuation date

Asset valuation method Market value

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return (discount rate) 6.50%
Projected salary increase 2.75%
Long-term inflation rate 2.75%
Projected medical increase 4.00%
Projected dental increase 4.00%
Projected vision increase 3.00%

(15) Risk Management

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts, theft of, damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The District and approximately 376 other municipalities (the Members) have entered into a joint powers agreement with the Association of California Water Agencies/Joint Powers Insurance Authority (ACWA/JPIA) for the purpose of risk sharing to meet the needs of its Members for liability, property and workers' compensation insurance coverage. Each Member selects one representative to serve as a director, with nine directors serving staggered terms as an executive committee. The only transactions between the District and ACWA/JPIA during the years ending December 31, 2017 and 2016 were regularly scheduled premium payments which were not material to the District's financial statements. At December 31, 2017, the District participated in the following programs of the ACWA/JPIA:

 General and auto liability, public officials and errors and omissions: Total risk financing self-insurance limits of \$5 million, per occurrence. ACWA/JPIA purchased additional excess coverage layers to a total of \$60 million for general, auto and public officials' liability.

Sacramento Suburban Water District Notes to the Basic Financial Statements, continued For the Years Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016

(15) Risk Management, continued

In addition to the above, the District also has the following insurance coverage:

- Crime coverage up to \$100,000 per loss includes public employee dishonesty, forgery or alteration and theft, and computer fraud, subject to a \$1,000 deductible per loss.
- Property loss for buildings, fixed equipment or personal property is paid at the replacement cost, if replaced within two years after the loss, otherwise paid on an actual cash value basis; property loss for mobile equipment and vehicles is paid at actual cash value basis, subject to a \$2,500 deductible for buildings, fixed equipment and personal property, and a \$1,000 deductible for mobile equipment and vehicles per occurrence. The ACWA/JPIA self-insures for the first \$100,000 and has purchased reinsurance up to \$150 million per loss.
- Boiler and machinery coverage for the replacement cost up to \$150 million per occurrence, subject to various deductibles depending on the type of equipment.
- Workers' compensation insurance up to California statutory limits, and Employer's Liability of \$4 million
 for all work related injuries/illnesses covered by California law. The ACWA/JPIA self-insures for the first
 \$2 million and has purchased excess coverage.
- ACWA/JPIA also insures for employee benefits, namely medical, dental, vision, life and employee assistance programs (EAP). The District participates only in the EAP program within this pool.

Settled claims have not exceeded any of the coverage amounts in any of the last three years and there were no reductions in the District's insurance coverage during the years ending December 31, 2017 and 2016. Liabilities are recorded when it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated net of the respective insurance coverage. Liabilities include an amount for claims that have been incurred but not reported (IBNR). There were no IBNR claims payable as of December 31, 2017 and 2016.

Copies of ACWA/JPIA's annual financial reports and other pertinent information may be obtained from their office at 2100 Professional Drive, Roseville, CA 95661-3700, from their website at www.acwajpia.com., or by calling (800) 231-5742.

(16) Commitments and Contingencies

Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District - Riverwalk Well Field Lease

The District is leasing a 5.5 acre parcel from the Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District for its Riverwalk Well Field. The effective date of the lease was from June 1, 1987 to May 31, 2012. In 2012, the District exercised its option upon expiration of the initial term of the lease and extended the lease term to May 31, 2037. The original lease amount of \$12,750, paid in advance each year, is adjusted annually on the anniversary date of the lease to reflect any increase or decrease of the National Consumer Price Index of the preceding year. The annual lease costs for calendar years 2017 and 2016 were \$27,608 and \$27,502, respectively. Future estimated lease commitment costs for the period January 1, 2018, to May 31, 2037, are estimated to be \$536,049, as of December 31, 2017.

Sacramento Suburban Water District Notes to the Basic Financial Statements, continued For the Years Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016

(16) Commitments and Contingencies, continued

Placer County Water District/Folsom Lake Reservoir - Take-or-Pay Contract

In 1995 (and amended in 2000, 2008 and 2016*), the District and the Placer County Water Agency (Agency) entered into a 45-year take-or-pay agreement whereby the Agency agreed to make available to the District, subject to water shortage provisions, the following amounts of untreated water at escalating water prices per year.

Year	Contract Requirement	Option to Buy Up to	
2000 to 2007	7,000 to 22,000	-	acre feet
2008	16,000	29,000	acre feet
2009	12,000	24,000	acre feet
2010	12,000	25,000	acre feet
2011	12,000	26,000	acre feet
2012	12,000	27,000	acre feet
2013	12,000	28,000	acre feet
2014 to 2045	12,000	29,000	acre feet

^{*} Contract renegotiated in 2016, extended term of agreement to December 31, 2045.

Each year the District is required to pay for its annual entitlement or surrender a portion of its rights so that the Agency will be free to put the water to use elsewhere. In order to do this, if the District does not take-or-pay for its annual entitlement for any year, the District's annual entitlement for each year thereafter is reduced by 50% of the amount which the District did not take-or-pay for during the year. The District's annual entitlement is subject to certain temporary or permanent reduction or elimination whenever the Agency notifies the District that the Agency has determined that it will not have sufficient water under certain provisions of the contract. In this situation, the District is relieved of its take-or-pay obligation. The most common event that would trigger the Agency providing notification to the District would be a projection of unimpaired inflow to Folsom Lake reservoir dropping below 1.6 million acre feet.

Each year the District is to pay the Agency for each acre-foot of the District's annual entitlement made available for use in the District's service area in order of the highest of the following three rates: (1) Thirty-five dollars (\$35); (2) One hundred seventy-five percent (175%) of the acre-foot price the Agency charges the City of Roseville and the San Juan Water District that year for water made available to them in the Folsom Lake reservoir for use within Placer County; or (3) One hundred fifty percent (150%) of the total amount, per acre-foot, including any restoration and other fees and charges, which the Agency is required to pay that year to the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) for water to be used within the Agency pursuant to the Agency's September 18, 1970 contract with Reclamation as amended, supplemented or renewed.

Grant Awards

The District has received state and federal funds for specific purposes that are subject to review and audit by the grantor agencies. Although such reviews or audits could generate expenditure disallowances under the terms of the grants, it is management's opinion that any required reimbursements would not be material.

Sacramento Suburban Water District Notes to the Basic Financial Statements, continued For the Years Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016

(16) Commitments and Contingencies, continued

Litigation

In the ordinary course of operations, the District is subject to claims and litigation from outside parties. After consultation with legal counsel, the District believes the ultimate outcome of such matters, if any, will not materially affect its financial condition as of December 31, 2017.

Construction Contracts


The District has a variety of agreements with private parties relating to the installation, improvement or modification of water facilities and distribution systems within its service area. The financing of such construction contracts is provided primarily by District's customers via a "Capital Facilities Charge" included in their monthly invoice. As of December 31, 2017, the District's commitment on open construction contracts is \$9.6 million:

	Approved			Payments To		Remaining
Project Name		Contract	Date		Commitment	
Palm Avenue well construction	\$	558,537	\$	(149,008)	\$	409,529
Butano/Cottage well construction		163,693		(78,316)		85,377
Edison Meadow main replacement		5,854,951		(676,644)		5,178,307
Parkland Estate main replacement – Phase 2		8,225,112		(4,903,677)		3,321,435
Jonas main replacement		207,002		(181,753)		25,249
Lower and raise water facilities		209,345		(27,631)		181,714
Meter retrofit		44,543		-		44,543
Marconi Generator		341,114		(55,600)		285,514
Enterprise reservoir valve replacement		32,685		-		32,685
Well rehabilitation		31,705		-		31,705
Total	\$	15,668,687	\$	(6,072,629)	\$	9,596,058

(17) Subsequent Event

Refunding Revenue Bond

The District is in the process of refunding its Series 2009B Certificates of Participations (Series 2009B). The advanced refunding is expected to total \$19.7 million and to be issued in May 2018 with a maturity of November 1, 2027. The purpose of the refunding is to lower debt service costs.



Sacramento Suburban Water District Required Supplementary Information (Unaudited) For The Years Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016

Schedule of Funding Progress Other Post Employment Benefits

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Plan Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (b)	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) (b-a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll ((b-a)/c)
7/1/2017	\$ 4,726,714	\$ 7,295,798	\$ 2,569,084	64.8%	\$4,331,683	59.3%
7/1/2015	3,746,037	6,239,224	2,493,187	60.0%	4,305,000	58.0%
7/1/2013	2,579,100	6,348,900	3,769,800	40.6%	4,200,000	89.8%

Sacramento Suburban Water District Required Supplementary Information (Unaudited) For The Years Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016

Schedule of the Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability Last 10 Years (1)

	June 30				
	2017	2016	2015	2014	
Proportion of the net pension liability	0.228248%	0.220331%	0.208569%	0.204804%	
Proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$8,997,648	\$7,654,038	\$5,722,018	\$5,061,703	
Covered payroll – measurement period	\$4,197,900	\$4,272,005	\$4,212,170	\$4,020,086	
Proportionate share of net pension liability as a % of covered payroll	214.34%	179.17%	135.84%	125.91%	
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	73.31%	74.06%	78.40%	83.03%	

Notes to Schedule:

- (1) Omitted Years: GASB 68 was implemented during 2014. No information was available prior to this date.
- (2) Change in Benefit Terms: The figures above do not include any liability that may have resulted from plan changes which occurred after the June 30, 2016 valuation date. No plan changes have occurred.
- (3) Changes in Assumptions: In 2017, the accounting discount rate reduced from 7.65% to 7.15%.


Sacramento Suburban Water District Required Supplementary Information (Unaudited) For The Years Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016


Schedule of Contributions to the Pension Plan Last 10 Years (1)

		Decer	nber 31	
	2017	2016	2015	2014
Contractually required contribution (actuarially determined)	\$879,305	\$ 799,920	\$ 834,729	\$ 620,038
Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contributions	(879,305)	(799,920)	(834,729)	(620,038)
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Covered employee payroll – calendar year	\$4,494,291	\$4,292,474	\$ 4,275,516	\$ 4,063,473
Contributions as a percentage of covered employee payroll	19.56%	18.64%	19.52 %	15.26 %
Valuation Date	6/30/2016	06/30/2015	06/30/2013	06/30/2012
		Decer	nber 31	
Methods and assumptions used to determine contribution rates:	2017	2016	2015	2014
Methods and assumptions used to determine contribution rates: Actuarial cost method	2017 Entry-Age N		2015	2014
•	Entry-Age N			2014
Actuarial cost method	Entry-Age N	ormal		2014 15 years
Actuarial cost method Amortization method	Entry-Age N Level percen	ormal tage of payroll 14 years	, closed	
Actuarial cost method Amortization method Remaining amortization period	Entry-Age N Level percen 13 years	ormal tage of payroll 14 years	, closed	
Actuarial cost method Amortization method Remaining amortization period Asset valuation method	Entry-Age N Level percen 13 years 5-year smoot 2.75%	ormal tage of payroll 14 years hed market	, closed 15 years 2.75%	15 years
Actuarial cost method Amortization method Remaining amortization period Asset valuation method Inflation	Entry-Age N Level percen 13 years 5-year smoot 2.75%	ormal tage of payroll 14 years hed market 2.75%	, closed 15 years 2.75%	15 years
Actuarial cost method Amortization method Remaining amortization period Asset valuation method Inflation Salary increases	Entry-Age N Level percen 13 years 5-year smoot 2.75% Varies by En	ormal tage of payroll 14 years hed market 2.75% try Age and Se	, closed 15 years 2.75% ervice	15 years 2.75%

Notes to Schedule:

- (1) Omitted Years: GASB 68 was implemented during 2014. No information was available prior to this date.
- (2) Net of pension plan investment expenses, includes inflation.
- (3) Probabilities of retirement and mortality are based on CalPERS' 2010 Experience Study for the period from 1997 to 2007.
- (4) Probabilities of retirement and mortality are based on CalPERS' 2014 Experience Study for the period from 1997 to 2011.





Contents

This part of the District's comprehensive annual financial report presents detailed information as a context for understanding what the information in the basic financial statements, note disclosures, and required supplementary information says about the District's overall financial health.

	Page Number
Financial Trends	59 - 60
These schedules contain information to help the reader understand how the District's	
financial performance and well-being have changed over time.	
Revenue Capacity	61 - 64
These schedules contain information to help the reader assess the District's most	
significant local revenue-sources: retail water sales.	
Debt Capacity	65 - 66
These schedules present information to help the reader assess the affordability of the District's	
current levels of outstanding debt and the District's ability to issue additional debt in the future.	
Demographic and Economic Information	67 - 68
This schedule offers demographic and economic indicators to help the reader understand	
the environment within which the District's financial activities take place.	
Operating Information	69 - 73
This schedule contains service and infrastructure data to help the reader understand how the	
information in the District's financial report relates to the service the District provides and	
activities it performs.	

Statements of Net Position Last Ten Years (Dollars in Thousands)

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Assets										
Current assets	\$ 29,194	\$ 18,554	\$ 17,056	\$ 12,711	\$ 9,045	\$ 9,632	\$ 4,611	\$ 7,258	\$ 7,944	\$11,061
Noncurrent assets	32,509	43,421	40,180	42,714	43,299	44,416	43,456	40,702	38,165	39,875
Capital assets:										
Nondepreciable assets	4,925	14,755	12,483	23,829	10,426	6,022	9,754	10,179	9,761	16,784
Depreciable assets	285,410	295,392	320,928	327,124	358,258	380,164	396,906	416,875	435,906	441,705
Accumulated depreciation	(83,006)	(91,246)	(100,380)	(110,084)	(119,900)	(127,125)	(136,477)	(147,676)	(158,960)	(168,222)
Capital assets, net	207,329	218,901	233,031	240,869	248,784	259,061	270,183	279,378	286,707	290,267
Total assets	269,032	280,876	290,267	296,294	301,128	313,109	318,250	327,338	332,816	341,203
Deferred outflows of resources	-	4,544	12,656	16,254	11,556	9,715	9,743	9,276	9,400	9,485
Liabilities										
Current liabilities	7,297	7,242	9,387	8,287	7,844	7,840	7,935	8,583	9,314	9,256
Noncurrent liabilities	109,622	111,368	116,508	116,889	110,403	111,250	105,793	101,940	98,484	94,866
Total liabilities	116,919	118,610	125,895	125,176	118,247	119,090	113,728	110,523	107,798	104,122
Deferred inflows of resources	-	-	-	-	-	2,565	1,819	799	558	1,134
Net position										
Net investment in capital assets	99,014	110,210	126,110	137,004	146,682	160,474	175,262	188,248	199,526	207,156
Restricted	6,310	6,762	6,642	6,643	3,532	3,520	3,540	3,523	3,540	3,548
Unrestricted	46,789	49,838	44,277	43,725	44,223	37,175	33,644	33,521	30,794	34,727
Total net position	\$ 152,113	\$ 166,810	\$ 177,029	\$187,372	\$ 194,437	\$ 201,169	\$ 212,446	\$ 225,292	\$ 233,860	\$245,431

Changes in Net Position Last Ten Years (Dollars in Thousands)

Water transfers - 2,347 637 536 Water service charge 8,050 7,415 7,174 7,095 6,820 6,608 6,306 6,402 6,349	6,366 23,499 676 1,077
Water transfers - 2,347 637 536 Water service charge 8,050 7,415 7,174 7,095 6,820 6,608 6,306 6,402 6,349	6,366 23,499 676
Water service charge 8,050 7,415 7,174 7,095 6,820 6,608 6,306 6,402 6,349	23,499 676
	23,499 676
	676
Capital facilities charge 16,436 19,977 20,493 20,448 20,619 20,650 20,678 21,646 22,575 2	
Wheeling water charge 295 148 273 303 170 6 6 6 167	1,077
Total operating revenues 36,807 41,889 40,535 38,957 40,211 41,319 38,930 38,690 41,083 4	14,162
Operating Expenses	
Source of supply 1,843 2,334 2,290 2,663 2,039 406 67 57 2,471	2,980
	4,516
Transmission and distribution 3,544 3,838 3,583 3,997 3,596 3,886 3,643 3,621 3,973	4,016
Water conservation 483 490 415 202 295 321 399 773 587	452
Customer accounts 918 960 968 1,003 976 1,086 1,122 1,159 1,145 Administrative and	1,305
	7,600
Total operating expenses 15,991 16,792 20,697 17,341 16,882 16,324 15,962 16,854 19,846 2	20,870
Operating income before depreciation 20,816 25,097 19,838 21,616 23,329 24,995 22,968 21,836 21,237 2	23,292
	2,182)
	11,110
Non-operating revenues 10,545 1,504 1,693 1,520 (3,540) 488 920 816 834	935
Interest expense (6,266) (5,183) (5,133) (4,773) (4,157) (3,914) (3,802) (3,633) (3,561) (3,002) (3,633) (3,561)	3,450)
expenses (132) (103) (117) (7) (418)	-
Gain (loss) on disposal of capital assets, net - (1) 243 - 12 - 21 6 (13)	12
Income before capital contributions 16,668 12,522 7,353 8,651 5,336 11,145 9,295 7,796 6,689	8,608
Capital contributions 3,450 2,175 2,405 1,692 1,729 3,096 2,455 5,049 1,879	2,963
Increase in net position 20,118 14,697 9,758 10,343 7,065 14,241 11,750 12,845 8,568 1 Net position, beginning	11,571
	33,860
Adjustment 461 (7,509) (472)	
Net position, end of year 152,113 166,810 177,029 187,372 194,437 201,169 212,447 225,929 233,860 24	45,431

Operating Revenues by Source Last Ten Years

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Water Sales (Dollars in	Thousands)	:								
Retail	\$35,383	\$38,423	\$38,634	\$37,694	\$39,095	\$39,709	\$37,811	\$37,692	\$39,977	\$42,409
Wheeling	295	149	273	303	170	6	6	6	167	676
Water Transfers	-	2,347	637	-	_	536	-	-	_	_
Total Water Sales	\$35,678	\$40,919	\$39,544	\$37,997	\$39,265	\$40,251	\$37,817	\$37,698	\$40,144	\$43,085
Water Production (Acre	e Feet):									
Retail	38,495	35,103	37,983	35,829	38,089	38,554	32,561	27,502	29,312	31,254
Wheeling	1,458	588	1,632	2,106	647	348	115	51	264	1,984
Water Transfers	-	8,462	2,712	-	-	2,822	-	-	-	-
Total Water										
Production	39,953	44,153	42,327	37,935	38,736	41,724	32,676	27,553	29,576	33,238
Water Sales/Acre Foot (Whole Doll	ars):								
Retail	\$919	\$1,095	\$1,017	\$1,052	\$1,026	\$1,030	\$1,161	\$1,371	\$1,364	\$1,357
Wheeling	\$202	\$253	\$167	\$144	\$263	\$17	\$52	\$118	\$633	\$341
Water Transfers	-	\$277	\$235	-	-	\$190	-	-	-	-

Retail Water Rates
Last Ten Years

		2009			
	2008	To 2014	2015	2016	2017
Flat Accounts	2000	2011	2012	2010	2017
Usage Charge (\$/1,000 per sq. foot)	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.91	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.98	\$ 1.02
Flat Service Charge (single unit)					
³ / ₄ " connection	15.12	14.89	15.49	16.11	16.75
1" connection	22.23	21.55	22.41	23.31	24.24
1 ½" connection	42.24	40.69	42.32	44.01	45.77
2" connection	45.04	40.19	41.80	43.47	45.21
Metered Accounts					
Usage Charge (\$/100 cubic feet (CCF))					
Residential – 1st Tier (0-10 CCF)	0.73	0.80	0.83	0.87	0.90
Residential – 2nd Tier (11+ CCF)	0.91	1.00	1.04	1.08	1.12
Non-Resid-Off-Peak Rate (Nov-Apr)	0.75	0.81	0.84	0.88	0.91
Non-ResidPeak Rate (May-Oct)	0.94	1.01	1.05	1.09	1.14
Meter Service Charge (by Meter Size)					
5/8" meter	4.35	3.60	3.74	3.89	4.05
³ / ₄ " meter	6.35	5.25	5.46	5.68	5.91
1" meter	10.35	8.50	8.84	9.19	9.56
1 ½" meter	20.30	16.60	17.26	17.95	18.67
2" meter	32.30	24.60	27.46	28.55	29.70
3" meter	60.25	49.20	51.17	53.21	55.34
4" meter	100.20	81.75	85.02	88.42	91.96
6" meter	200.05	163.15	169.68	176.46	183.52
8" meter	359.85	293.40	305.14	317.34	330.04
10" meter	579.55	472.50	491.40	511.06	531.50
12" meter	859.10	700.40	728.42	757.55	787.85
Flat and Metered Accounts					
Capital Facilities Charge					
5/8" meter	15.55	19.25	20.02	20.82	21.65
³ / ₄ " meter or connection	23.20	28.70	29.85	31.04	32.28
1" meter or connection	38.75	48.00	49.92	51.92	53.99
1 ½" meter or connection	77.25	95.65	99.48	103.46	107.59
2" meter or connection	123.65	153.10	159.22	165.59	172.22
3" meter	232.05	287.30	298.79	310.74	323.17
4" meter	386.85	478.95	498.11	518.03	538.75
6" meter	773.40	957.60	995.90	1,035.74	1,077.17
8" meter	1,392.20	1,723.80	1,792.75	1,864.46	1,939.04
10" meter	2,243.25	2,777.45	2,888.55	3,004.09	3,124.25
12" meter	3,325.55	4,117.65	4,282.36	4,453.65	4,631.80

Facility Development Charges (Connection Fees)

Last Ten Years

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
5/8" service	\$ 2,773	\$ 2,874	\$ 2,996	\$ 3,338	\$ 3,544	\$ 3,826	\$ 2,762	\$ 3,130	\$ 3,168	\$3,228
³ / ₄ " service	4,157	4,309	4,472	4,982	5,290	5,711	4,122	4,672	4,728	4,817
1" service	6,942	7,196	7,468	8,319	8,834	9,537	6,884	7,802	7,896	8,045
1 ½" service	13,843	14,350	14,891	16,589	17,616	19,017	13,726	15,558	15,745	16,041
2" service	22,157	22,968	23,835	26,552	28,196	30,439	21,970	24,902	25,202	25,676
3" service	41,570	43,092	44,718	49,817	52,901	57,108	41,220	46,720	47,282	48,172
4" service	69,297	71,834	74,545	83,045	88,185	95,199	68,714	77,882	78,820	80,304
6" service	138,553	143,625	149,046	166,040	176,318	190,341	137,386	155,718	157,952	160,559
8" service	249,420	258,551	268,309	298,902	317,403	342,648	219,826	249,158	252,157	256,904
10" service	401,857	416,569	432,291	481,581	511,390	552,063	316,034	358,202	362,514	369,339
12" service	595,835	617,648	640,946	714,028	758,225	818,592	463,725	525,600	531,927	541,941

Principal Retail Rate Payers Current Year and Ten Years Prior

December 31, 2017

December 31, 2007

Principal Retail Rate Payers	Revenues Collected	Rank	Percent of Retail Sales Revenue	Revenues Collected	Rank	Percent of Retail Sales Revenue
McClellan Business Park	\$ 531,457	1	1.25%	\$ 346,732	1	1.13%
San Juan Unified School District	354,776	2	0.84%	202,039	3	0.66%
Carmel Partners, MS#3, The Arbors	211,528	3	0.50%	210,051	2	0.68%
Woodside Association, Inc.	177,605	4	0.42%	119,874	4	0.39%
Autumn Ridge Apartments	160,844	5	0.38%	-	-	-
Twin Rivers Union School District	148,925	6	0.35%	-	-	-
Eskaton Village	132,784	7	0.31%	-	-	-
Fulton-El Camino Rec/Park District	128,235	8	0.30%	111,515	5	0.36%
The Homes at McClellan Park	113,009	9	0.27%			
Logan Park Apartments	111,568	10	0.26%	-	-	-
Valley Green Apartments				99,040	6	0.32%
BRE Properties				97,350	7	0.32%
Timberlake Association				91,517	8	0.30%
Sacramento County (AFS/SCRSD)				91,078	9	0.30%
Eskaton Village				90,452	10	0.29%
Total Principal Retail Rate Payers	\$ 2,070,731	-	4.88%	\$ 1,459,648	-	4.75%
Total Annual Retail Water Sales Revenue	\$42,408,559	-	-	\$30,729,421	-	-

Outstanding Debt by Type and Number of Connections Last Ten Years

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Long-Term Debt:										
(Dollars in Thousands)										
Series 2004	\$41,706									
Series 2005A										
Series 2005B	3,110	\$1,645	\$ 125							
Series 2008A-1	36,725									
Series 2008A-2	36,725	35,860	34,960	\$33,300						
Series 2009A		42,000	42,000	42,000	\$48,553	\$48,249	\$47,939	\$47,623	\$47,299	\$46,969
Series 2009B		36,873	36,109	34,495	32,732	30,943	29,074	27,120	25,086	22,973
Series 2012A					30,760	28,646	26,516	24,351	22,117	19,847
Total Debt	118,266	116,378	113,194	109,795	112,045	107,838	103,529	99,094	94,502	89,789
No. of Connections	44,091	44,147	44,185	44,655	44,776	45,391	46,112	46,414	46,650	46,318
Debt Per Connection										
(Whole Dollars)	\$ 2,682	\$ 2,636	\$ 2,562	\$ 2,459	\$ 2,502	\$ 2,376	\$ 2,245	\$ 2,135	\$ 2,026	\$ 1,939

Schedule of Net Revenues

Last Ten Years (Dollars in Thousands)

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Revenues										
Water sales	\$ 35,383	\$ 38,423	\$ 38,634	\$ 37,694	\$ 39,095	\$ 39,709	\$37,811	\$37,692	\$39,977	\$42,408
Water transfers Wheeling	-	2,347	637	-	-	536	-	-	-	-
charge	295	149	273	303	170	6	6	6	167	676
Water services Facility development	1,129	970	991	960	946	1,068	1,113	992	939	1,077
charges Investment	1,660	424	121	161	380	187	561	543	264	135
income	2,738	1,112	1,267	1,052	(3,888)	633	547	271	613	572
Other	557	392	669	468	360	399	373	358	279	456
Total revenues	41,762	43,817	42,592	40,638	37,063	42,538	40,410	39,863	42,239	45,324
Operating Expen Transmission	ises									
and distribution Administrative	3,544	3,838	3,583	3,997	3,596	3,886	3,642	3,621	3,973	4,016
and general*	6,082	5,813	10,293	6,142	6,156	5,919	6,100	6,120	6,822	7,646
Pumping	3,253	3,461	3,265	3,341	4,238	4,706	4,632	5,124	4,852	4,516
Water purchases Customer	1,843	2,334	2,290	2,663	2,039	406	67	57	2,471	2,980
accounts Water	919	959	968	1,003	976	1,086	1,122	1,159	1,145	1,305
conservation	483	490	415	202	295	322	400	773	587	452
Total expenses	16,124	16,895	20,814	17,348	17,300	16,325	15,963	16,854	19,850	20,914
Net revenue	25,638	26,922	21,778	23,290	19,763	26,214	24,447	23,008	22,389	24,410
Debt service	9,034	8,095	7,974	7,829	7,576	7,462	7,484	7,443	7,471	7,559
Coverage ratio	2.84	3.33	2.73	2.97	2.61	3.51	3.27	3.09	3.00	3.23
Revenues available for capital projects and other										
purposes	<u>\$16,604</u>	<u>\$ 18,827</u>	<u>\$ 13,804</u>	<u>\$ 15,460</u>	<u>\$ 12,187</u>	<u>\$ 18,751</u>	\$ 16,963	<u>\$ 15,565</u>	<u>\$ 14,918</u>	<u>\$16,851</u>

^{*} Administrative and general operating expenses include "other non-operating expenses" as reported on the Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position.

Demographic and Economic Statistics
Sacramento County*

Last Ten Years

		Personal					
		Income	Per Capita		Number	Number	Unemployment
Year	Population	(\$ in 000s)	Income	Labor Force	Employed	Unemployed	Rate
2017	Informati	ion Not Currently A	vailable	699,400	666,500	32,900	4.70%
2016	1,514,460	\$72,878,458	\$48,122	697,400	660,100	37,300	5.40%
2015	1,501,335	\$ 69,870,482	\$ 46,845	685,900	644,900	41,000	6.00%
2014	1,481,474	\$ 65,391,250	\$ 44,303	683,000	633,300	49,800	7.30%
2013	1,463,149	\$ 62,440,643	\$ 42,162	681,900	621,400	60,500	8.90%
2012	1,448,771	\$ 60,721,694	\$ 41,913	682,300	610,600	71,700	10.50%
2011	1,435,601	\$ 57,564,251	\$ 40,098	680,000	597,700	82,300	12.10%
2010	1,421,838	\$ 54,673,384	\$ 38,453	683,100	597,000	86,100	12.60%
2009	1,408,601	\$ 53,647,258	\$ 38,085	681,100	606,100	75,000	11.00%
2008	1,394,438	\$ 54,201,689	\$ 38,870	679,400	630,300	49,100	7.20%

^{*} Information for Demographic and Economic Statistics is provided for the County of Sacramento since the District is located solely within the County and such information is not available specifically for the District's service area.

Source

Population and Income: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis.

Labor Force and Employment Data: Annual Averages; State of California, Employment Development Department.

Principal Employers Sacramento County*

December 31, 2017

December 31, 2007

			Percentage of Total			Percentage of Total
Principal Employers	Employees	Rank	Labor Force	Employees	Rank	Labor Force
State of California	74,462	1	10.65%	67,467	1	10.09%
Sacramento County	12,514	2	1.79%	14,408	2	2.15%
Kaiser Permanente	10,517	3	1.50%	6,656	6	1.00%
UC Davis Health System	10,467	4	1.50%	7,901	3	1.18%
U.S. Government	10,322	5	1.48%	-	-	-
Sutter Health Sacramento Sierra Region	9,911	6	1.42%	-	-	-
Dignity Health	8,039	7	1.15%	4,897	10	0.73%
Intel Corp.	6,000	8	0.86%	7,000	4	1.05%
Apple, Inc.	5,000	9	0.71%			
Elk Grove Unified School District	4,620	10	0.66%	-	-	-
City of Sacramento Sacramento City Unified School				5,105	9	0.76%
District				7,000	4	1.05%
Los Rios Community College				6,000	7	0.90%
San Juan Unified School District		_		5,775	8	0.86%
Total	151,852		21.71%	132,209		19.77%
Total Labor Force	699,400			675,800		

^{*} Information for Principal Employers is provided for the County of Sacramento since the District is located within the County and such information is not available solely for the District's service area.

Source:

Principal Employers: Sacramento Business Journal, Book of Lists 2017, Vol. 34, No. 44, p.70. Total Labor Force: Annual Averages; State of California, Employment Development Department.

Annual Water Production Last Ten Years (Reported in Acre Feet)

North Service Area South Service Area Total Year Surface Ground **Sub Total** Surface Ground **Sub Total** Production 2017 10,162 7,364 1,301 17,526 12,427 13,728 31,254 2016 11,025 5,679 16,704 423 12,185 12,608 29,312 2015 80 15,702 15,782 11,720 11,720 27,502 2014 18,790 18,790 13,771 13,771 32,561 2013 409 21,869 22,278 16,276 16,276 38,554 2012 4,096 17,697 21,793 6,463 9,833 16,296 38,089 2011 12,626 7,738 20,364 4,084 11,381 15,465 35,829 2010 15,518 6,522 22,040 2,289 13,654 15,943 37,983 2009 8,211 10,203 18,414 3,872 12,817 16,689 35,103

Source: District.

2008

12,238

6,984

19,222

2,743

16,530

19,273

38,495

Wheeling Water Deliveries

Last Ten Years

(Reported in Acre Feet)

	California American		Rio Linda / Elverta			San Juan	
Year	Water Company	Citrus Heights Water District	Water District	City of Sacramento	County of Sacramento	Water District	Total Deliveries
2017	1,983		1				1,984
2016	251	-	-	-	-	13	264
2015	-	-	-	-	-	51	51
2014	-	-	11	104	-	-	115
2013	-	17	-	331	-	-	348
2012	584	-	25	28	5	-	647
2011	2,103	1	2	-	-	-	2,106
2010	1,628	1	3	-	-	-	1,632
2009	567	10	11	-	-	-	588
2008	1,407	49	2	-	-	-	1,458

Operating Activity
Last Ten Years

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Production Department										
Service Orders										
Preventive Maintenance:										
Work Orders Completed	16,338	15,012	15,130	16,580	15,364	15,427	11,988	11,194	8,266	9,286
Corrective Maintenance:										
Work Orders Completed	433	251	237	175	281	210	242	161	142	120
Water Quality										
Complaints	287	179	214	131	137	174	30	1	13	51
Inquiries	28	88	90	114	159	171	110	159	197	119
Distribution Department										
Service Orders										
Main Leaks	92	87	76	82	64	77	61	70	66	52
Service Line Leaks	171	147	199	232	268	242	125	101	75	105
Locate & Expose	311	375	507	320	332	253	353	253	208	172
Determine Responsibility	1,512	1,785	1,346	1,557	1,770	1,891	839	630	654	621
Water Main Shutdown:	1,512	1,705	1,510	1,557	1,770	1,071	037	050	051	021
Emergency	69	99	85	83	99	110	86	27	29	29
Scheduled	90	84	76	125	160	170	100	32	13	6
Preventive Maintenance			, ,			-, -				_
Program										
Fire Hydrants Inspected	45	_	_	437	1,248	1,237	1,255	1,597	251	28
Fire Hydrant Valves					,	,	,	,		
Inspected	_	_	_	_	_	_	1,202	1,508	247	51
Fire Hydrants Valves							,	,		
Exercised	_	_	_	_	_	_	975	1,385	225	49
Valves Inspected	5,287	2,602	1,235	442	1,406	923	898	434	880	708
Valves Exercised										641
Underground Service Alert										
Reviewed	15,624	13,184	13,513	14,114	15,100	16,058	14,614	18,529	20,811	23,311
Marked	6,232	5,034	4,267	5,344	4,848	5,233	4,369	5,074	4,444	5,944
After Hours Activity										
Calls Received	966	857	810	925	1,012	1,012	1,024	1,145	741	553
Calls Responded	358	318	322	437	433	367	338	605	442	342
Average Call Time Hours	-	=	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	2
Overtime Hours	-	-	-	-	-	-	880	1,034	748	692

Operating Activity, continued

Last Ten Years

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Field Services Department										
Meters										
Preventive Maintenance –										
Meters Tested	190	197	142	53	150	135	57	32	128	135
Preventive Maintenance –										
Meters Replaced	166	258	804	268	189	644	143	117	1,159	279
Preventive Maintenance –										
Meter Re-Builds	-	-	-	-	-	-	67	43	240	232
Customer Service										
Shut Off (non-payment)	4,115	3,221	2,940	3,127	2,158	2,066	2,561	2,051	1,804	1,772
Restore Service	2,552	2,272	2,004	1,799	1,976	1,451	2,100	1,801	1,742	1,772
Customer Pressure Inquiries	-	-	-	-	-	-	121	113	143	118
Field Operations Department										
Service Requests Generated	17,804	17,963	15,761	21,221	23,026	18,641	22,736	24,204	16,092	17,858
Work Orders Generated	5,338	9,972	12,187	15,625	12,382	14,460	11,939	10,898	12,417	14,257

Full-Time Equivalent Employees Last Ten Years

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Administration	8	8	8	8	8	8	10	10	10	10
Customer Service	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	6
Engineering Production and Water	9	9	9	9	9	10	10	10	10	10
Treatment	14	14	14	15	15	13	15	15	14	18
Distribution	23	23	23	23	23	22	22	22	23	24
Total	60	60	60	61	61	59	62	62	62	68



Telephone: (916) 564-8727 FAX: (916) 564-8728



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Board of Directors Sacramento Suburban Water District Sacramento, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Sacramento Suburban Water District (the District), as of and for the year ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated April 2, 2018.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency is a deficiency*, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

To the Board of Directors Sacramento Suburban Water District

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free from material misstatements, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provision was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Richardson & Company, LLP

April 2, 2018